TENNESSEE AGRI-INDUSTRY BRIEF: SOUTHERN STATES COUNTY-LEVEL PRODUCERS' AVERAGE AGE

Jamey Menard, Research Leader Agri-Industry Modeling & Analysis Group (AIM-AG) Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics

USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service's (NASS) Census of Agriculture is published every five years and provides data at the U.S., state, and county levels. One metric tracked is the average age of agricultural producers. This information is provided for selected southern states and their respective counties for the Census reporting periods 2017 to 2022, along with the percentage change in average age for that timeframe (Figure 1 and Table A1 in Appendix).

The overall average ages for all producers for 2017 and 2022 for selected southern states are indicated in Table 1. For 2022, Mississippi and Florida had the highest overall average ages of producers at 59.6 and 59.5 years old, respectively. Georgia, Kentucky, and Tennessee had the largest percent change increases in average ages from 2017 to 2022. North Carolina had no change. From 2017 to 2022, North Carolina had the largest numbers of counties with declines in average age of producers for 52 of their 100 counties, or 52 percent, followed by Virginia, Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida (Table 2). Those with the smallest, include Maryland, Tennessee, South Carolina, and Kentucky.



| Table 1. Average Age of Producers from 2017 to 2022 for Selected Southern States. | | | | |
|---|------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| | A | ge | | |
| State | 2017 | 2022 | % Change | |
| ALABAMA | 58.0 | 58.7 | 1.2% | |
| ARKANSAS | 57.0 | 57.6 | 1.1% | |
| FLORIDA | 58.9 | <mark>59.5</mark> | 1.0% | |
| GEORGIA | 57.9 | 59.0 | <mark>1.9%</mark> | |
| KENTUCKY | 56.2 | 57.1 | <mark>1.6%</mark> | |
| LOUISIANA | 57.4 | 58.0 | 1.0% | |
| MARYLAND | 57.0 | 57.8 | 1.4% | |
| MISSISSIPPI | 58.9 | <mark>59.6</mark> | 1.2% | |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 58.1 | 58.1 | 0.0% | |
| OKLAHOMA | 57.0 | 57.7 | 1.2% | |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 58.2 | 58.9 | 1.2% | |
| TENNESSEE | 58.0 | 58.9 | <mark>1.6%</mark> | |
| TEXAS | 59.2 | 59.9 | 1.2% | |
| VIRGINIA | 58.5 | 59.2 | 1.2% | |

Table 2. Counties with Decline in Average Age of Producers from 2017 to 2022 for Selected Southern States.

| State | Number of | Number | |
|----------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| | Counties | Decline | % Decline |
| ALABAMA | 67 | 22 | <mark>32.8%</mark> |
| ARKANSAS | 75 | 24 | 32.0% |
| FLORIDA | 67 | 22 | <mark>32.8%</mark> |
| GEORGIA | 159 | 50 | 31.4% |
| KENTUCKY | 120 | 32 | 26.7% |
| LOUISIANA | 64 | 20 | 31.3% |
| MARYLAND | 23 | 3 | 13.0% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 82 | 27 | <mark>32.9%</mark> |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 100 | 52 | <mark>52.0%</mark> |
| OKLAHOMA | 77 | 24 | 31.2% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 46 | 12 | 26.1% |
| TENNESSEE | 95 | 23 | 24.2% |
| TEXAS | 254 | 77 | 30.3% |
| VIRGINIA | 103 | 37 | <mark>35.9%</mark> |

For all the southern states analyzed, the top five states, along with their respective counties, having the largest decrease in the producers' average age from 2017 to 2022, in order of magnitude, were Sterling in Texas at -12.5 percent, Camden in Georgia (-10.4 percent), Cameron in Louisiana (-10.3 percent), McIntosh in Georgia (-9.8 percent), and Scotland in North

Carolina (-8.7 percent) (Table 3). Conversely, the overall top five states, along with their respective counties, having the largest increase for this timeframe were Knott in Kentucky at 22.8 percent, followed by Franklin in Florida (22.6 percent), Leslie in Kentucky (21.1 percent), St. John the Baptist in Louisiana (17.4 percent), and Martin in Kentucky (16.6 percent) (Table 4).

Table 3. Top Five Counties with Largest Decrease in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | | A | | |
|----------------|----------|------|------|----------|
| State | County | 2017 | 2022 | % Change |
| TEXAS | STERLING | 62.4 | 54.6 | -12.5% |
| GEORGIA | CAMDEN | 54.9 | 49.2 | -10.4% |
| LOUISIANA | CAMERON | 56.2 | 50.4 | -10.3% |
| GEORGIA | MCINTOSH | 60.1 | 54.2 | -9.8% |
| NORTH CAROLINA | SCOTLAND | 58.8 | 53.7 | -8.7% |

Table 4. Top Five Counties with Largest Increase in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|------|------|----------|
| State | County | 2017 | 2022 | % Change |
| KENTUCKY | KNOTT | 50.5 | 62.0 | 22.8% |
| FLORIDA | FRANKLIN | 52.6 | 64.5 | 22.6% |
| KENTUCKY | LESLIE | 54.0 | 65.4 | 21.1% |
| LOUISIANA | ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST | 58.5 | 68.7 | 17.4% |
| KENTUCKY | MARTIN | 46.9 | 54.7 | 16.6% |

For Census year 2022 only, the states, along with their respective counties, having the largest average age were St. John the Baptist in Louisiana at 68.7 years old, followed by Jefferson County in Louisiana (67.6), Muscogee in Georgia (66.8), Culberson in Texas (66.3), and Twiggs in Georgia (65.5) (Table 5). The overall top five having the lowest average were Orleans in Louisiana at 43.2 years old, followed by Camden in Georgia (49.2), Arlington in Virgina (49.5), Monroe in Florida (50.2), and Cameron in Louisiana (50.4) (Table 6).

| Table 5. Based on 2022 Census, Top Five Counties with Largest Average Age | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------|--|--|
| State | County | 2022 | | |
| LOUISIANA | ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST | 68.7 | | |
| LOUISIANA | JEFFERSON | 67.6 | | |
| GEORGIA | MUSCOGEE | 66.8 | | |
| TEXAS | CULBERSON | 66.3 | | |
| GEORGIA | TWIGGS | 65.5 | | |

| Table 6. Based on 2022 Census, Top Five Counties with Lowest Average Age | | | | |
|--|-----------|------|--|--|
| State | County | 2022 | | |
| LOUISIANA | ORLEANS | 43.2 | | |
| GEORGIA | CAMDEN | 49.2 | | |
| VIRGINIA | ARLINGTON | 49.5 | | |
| FLORIDA | MONROE | 50.2 | | |
| LOUISIANA | CAMERON | 50.4 | | |

APPENDIX




Figure 1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017-2022 Census.

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| LOLL CCIISUS | | | | |
|----------------|--------|------|------|--------|
| | Age | | | |
| State/0 | County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| <u>Alabama</u> | | | | |
| AUTAUGA | | 57.0 | 55.1 | -3.3% |
| BALDWIN | | 59.8 | 58.7 | -1.8% |
| BARBOUR | | 60.5 | 59.6 | -1.5% |
| BIBB | | 55.6 | 59.2 | 6.5% |
| BLOUNT | | 56.2 | 57.7 | 2.7% |
| BULLOCK | | 62.0 | 58.0 | -6.5% |
| BUTLER | | 58.7 | 61.0 | 3.9% |
| CALHOUN | | 57.0 | 58.9 | 3.3% |
| CHAMBERS | | 58.2 | 56.0 | -3.8% |
| CHEROKEE | | 55.5 | 58.0 | 4.5% |
| CHILTON | | 58.2 | 57.0 | -2.1% |
| CHOCTAW | | 61.1 | 59.3 | -2.9% |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| CLARKE 60.1 58.2 -3.2% CLAY 55.0 54.9 -0.2% CLEBURNE 58.1 58.3 0.3% COFFEE 60.6 62.0 2.3% COIBERT 58.0 60.1 3.6% COLBEUH 61.8 62.0 0.3% COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0 FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 <td< th=""><th></th><th colspan="4"><u>Age</u></th></td<> | | <u>Age</u> | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| CLAY 55.0 54.9 -0.2% CLEBURNE 58.1 58.3 0.3% COFFEE 60.6 62.0 2.3% COLBERT 58.0 60.1 3.6% CONECUH 61.8 62.0 0.3% COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLIMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0 56.7 1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% 69.8 62.8 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% 60.4 2.0% 6 | State/County | | | Change | |
| CLEBURNE 58.1 58.3 0.3% COFFEE 60.6 62.0 2.3% COLBERT 58.0 60.1 3.6% CONECUH 61.8 62.0 0.3% COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0 6.1 FANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4< | CLARKE | 60.1 | 58.2 | -3.2% | |
| COFFEE 60.6 62.0 2.3% COLBERT 58.0 60.1 3.6% CONECUH 61.8 62.0 0.3% COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 50.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HOUSTON <td< td=""><td>CLAY</td><td>55.0</td><td>54.9</td><td>-0.2%</td></td<> | CLAY | 55.0 | 54.9 | -0.2% | |
| COLBERT 58.0 60.1 3.6% CONECUH 61.8 62.0 0.3% COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% COULMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 60.9 | CLEBURNE | 58.1 | 58.3 | 0.3% | |
| CONECUH 61.8 62.0 0.3% COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.5 5 | COFFEE | 60.6 | 62.0 | 2.3% | |
| COOSA 60.2 60.7 0.8% COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULIMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 61.% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 <td< td=""><td>COLBERT</td><td>58.0</td><td>60.1</td><td>3.6%</td></td<> | COLBERT | 58.0 | 60.1 | 3.6% | |
| COVINGTON 60.9 62.8 3.1% CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JACKSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 6 | CONECUH | 61.8 | 62.0 | 0.3% | |
| CRENSHAW 60.0 59.4 -1.0% CULLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAWAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAWRENCE 55.7 | COOSA | 60.2 | 60.7 | 0.8% | |
| CUILLMAN 56.6 58.7 3.7% DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAWAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAWENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 </td <td>COVINGTON</td> <td>60.9</td> <td>62.8</td> <td>3.1%</td> | COVINGTON | 60.9 | 62.8 | 3.1% | |
| DALE 61.5 59.7 -2.9% DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAWEDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWEENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9< | CRENSHAW | 60.0 | 59.4 | -1.0% | |
| DALLAS 59.2 60.4 2.0% DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 </td <td>CULLMAN</td> <td>56.6</td> <td>58.7</td> <td>3.7%</td> | CULLMAN | 56.6 | 58.7 | 3.7% | |
| DE KALB 56.1 56.7 1.1% ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61 | DALE | 61.5 | 59.7 | -2.9% | |
| ELMORE 57.7 58.2 0.9% ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAWAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.1 58.5 0.7% <td>DALLAS</td> <td>59.2</td> <td>60.4</td> <td>2.0%</td> | DALLAS | 59.2 | 60.4 | 2.0% | |
| ESCAMBIA 58.9 58.5 -0.7% ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.1 <td< td=""><td>DE KALB</td><td>56.1</td><td>56.7</td><td>1.1%</td></td<> | DE KALB | 56.1 | 56.7 | 1.1% | |
| ETOWAH 56.1 56.1 0.0% FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 | ELMORE | 57.7 | 58.2 | 0.9% | |
| FAYETTE 57.2 59.1 3.3% FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARISON 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 <td< td=""><td>ESCAMBIA</td><td>58.9</td><td>58.5</td><td>-0.7%</td></td<> | ESCAMBIA | 58.9 | 58.5 | -0.7% | |
| FRANKLIN 57.6 56.7 -1.6% GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARINGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARINGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MONROE 59.5 | ETOWAH | 56.1 | 56.1 | 0.0% | |
| GENEVA 59.2 60.4 2.0% GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISHAU 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARRINGO 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 | FAYETTE | 57.2 | 59.1 | 3.3% | |
| GREENE 61.9 63.9 3.2% HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | FRANKLIN | 57.6 | 56.7 | -1.6% | |
| HALE 57.4 60.9 6.1% HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | GENEVA | 59.2 | 60.4 | 2.0% | |
| HENRY 57.4 55.8 -2.8% HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | GREENE | 61.9 | 63.9 | 3.2% | |
| HOUSTON 59.7 60.7 1.7% JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MARISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | HALE | 57.4 | 60.9 | 6.1% | |
| JACKSON 56.0 57.7 3.0% JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | HENRY | 57.4 | 55.8 | -2.8% | |
| JEFFERSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | HOUSTON | 59.7 | 60.7 | 1.7% | |
| LAMAR 58.1 63.3 9.0% LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | JACKSON | 56.0 | 57.7 | 3.0% | |
| LAUDERDALE 56.7 57.7 1.8% LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | JEFFERSON | 56.5 | 58.3 | 3.2% | |
| LAWRENCE 55.7 57.6 3.4% LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | LAMAR | 58.1 | 63.3 | 9.0% | |
| LEE 56.2 56.9 1.2% LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | LAUDERDALE | 56.7 | 57.7 | 1.8% | |
| LIMESTONE 57.8 58.1 0.5% LOWNDES 60.8 62.8 3.3% MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | LAWRENCE | 55.7 | 57.6 | 3.4% | |
| LOWNDES60.862.83.3%MACON58.961.74.8%MADISON58.359.52.1%MARENGO56.460.87.8%MARION58.158.50.7%MARSHALL57.158.32.1%MOBILE57.657.1-0.9%MONROE59.560.82.2% | LEE | 56.2 | 56.9 | 1.2% | |
| MACON 58.9 61.7 4.8% MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | LIMESTONE | 57.8 | 58.1 | 0.5% | |
| MADISON 58.3 59.5 2.1% MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | LOWNDES | 60.8 | 62.8 | 3.3% | |
| MARENGO 56.4 60.8 7.8% MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | MACON | 58.9 | 61.7 | 4.8% | |
| MARION 58.1 58.5 0.7% MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | MADISON | 58.3 | 59.5 | 2.1% | |
| MARSHALL 57.1 58.3 2.1% MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | MARENGO | 56.4 | 60.8 | 7.8% | |
| MOBILE 57.6 57.1 -0.9% MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | MARION | 58.1 | 58.5 | 0.7% | |
| MONROE 59.5 60.8 2.2% | MARSHALL | 57.1 | 58.3 | 2.1% | |
| | MOBILE | 57.6 | 57.1 | -0.9% | |
| MONTGOMERY 61.4 60.4 -1.6% | MONROE | 59.5 | 60.8 | 2.2% | |
| | MONTGOMERY | 61.4 | 60.4 | -1.6% | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | | |
|-----------------|------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| MORGAN | 57.3 | 57.7 | 0.7% | |
| PERRY | 60.3 | 61.9 | 2.7% | |
| PICKENS | 60.5 | 57.8 | -4.5% | |
| PIKE | 59.9 | 57.9 | -3.3% | |
| RANDOLPH | 55.7 | 59.1 | 6.1% | |
| RUSSELL | 58.0 | 60.2 | 3.8% | |
| St. CLAIR | 56.5 | 57.3 | 1.4% | |
| SHELBY | 58.4 | 59.4 | 1.7% | |
| SUMTER | 62.5 | 60.4 | -3.4% | |
| TALLADEGA | 57.1 | 59.7 | 4.6% | |
| TALLAPOOSA | 58.6 | 59.0 | 0.7% | |
| TUSCALOOSA | 58.4 | 58.8 | 0.7% | |
| WALKER | 57.8 | 55.5 | -4.0% | |
| WASHINGTON | 57.1 | 57.0 | -0.2% | |
| WILCOX | 61.5 | 63.5 | 3.3% | |
| WINSTON | 59.0 | 58.0 | -1.7% | |
| <u>Arkansas</u> | | | | |
| ARKANSAS | 56.6 | 59.0 | 4.2% | |
| ASHLEY | 57.9 | 57.0 | -1.6% | |
| BAXTER | 56.0 | 57.3 | 2.3% | |
| BENTON | 56.6 | 56.7 | 0.2% | |
| BOONE | 57.0 | 58.4 | 2.5% | |
| BRADLEY | 58.0 | 57.8 | -0.3% | |
| CALHOUN | 57.9 | 57.0 | -1.6% | |
| CARROLL | 56.2 | 56.6 | 0.7% | |
| CHICOT | 58.4 | 58.4 | 0.0% | |
| CLARK | 59.1 | 59.1 | 0.0% | |
| CLAY | 56.6 | 56.1 | -0.9% | |
| CLEBURNE | 56.9 | 57.7 | 1.4% | |
| CLEVELAND | 58.9 | 59.3 | 0.7% | |
| COLUMBIA | 55.8 | 57.2 | 2.5% | |
| CONWAY | 58.4 | 58.4 | 0.0% | |
| CRAIGHEAD | 54.5 | 56.0 | 2.8% | |
| CRAWFORD | 56.9 | 60.0 | 5.4% | |
| CRITTENDEN | 58.8 | 56.7 | -3.6% | |
| CROSS | 55.1 | 54.7 | -0.7% | |
| DALLAS | 61.0 | 58.9 | -3.4% | |
| DESHA | 58.2 | 57.0 | -2.1% | |
| DREW | 56.1 | 56.8 | 1.2% | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | | |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| FAULKNER | 56.5 | 57.4 | 1.6% | |
| FRANKLIN | 55.4 | 57.3 | 3.4% | |
| FULTON | 56.4 | 57.8 | 2.5% | |
| GARLAND | 58.2 | 59.0 | 1.4% | |
| GRANT | 58.8 | 55.8 | -5.1% | |
| GREENE | 56.6 | 56.8 | 0.4% | |
| HEMPSTEAD | 59.1 | 56.6 | -4.2% | |
| HOT SPRING | 58.3 | 59.4 | 1.9% | |
| HOWARD | 55.6 | 56.5 | 1.6% | |
| INDEPENDENCE | 55.8 | 57.4 | 2.9% | |
| IZARD | 58.8 | 57.9 | -1.5% | |
| JACKSON | 57.5 | 56.9 | -1.0% | |
| JEFFERSON | 56.1 | 60.4 | 7.7% | |
| JOHNSON | 57.1 | 56.8 | -0.5% | |
| LAFAYETTE | 53.8 | 56.0 | 4.1% | |
| LAWRENCE | 56.9 | 58.3 | 2.5% | |
| LEE | 56.7 | 57.4 | 1.2% | |
| LINCOLN | 58.0 | 57.6 | -0.7% | |
| LITTLE RIVER | 57.2 | 57.6 | 0.7% | |
| LOGAN | 57.4 | 57.7 | 0.5% | |
| LONOKE | 57.3 | 56.1 | -2.1% | |
| MADISON | 56.5 | 58.6 | 3.7% | |
| MARION | 57.3 | 59.1 | 3.1% | |
| MILLER | 57.2 | 56.5 | -1.2% | |
| MISSISSIPPI | 55.1 | 55.8 | 1.3% | |
| MONROE | 58.2 | 59.3 | 1.9% | |
| MONTGOMERY | 58.7 | 58.6 | -0.2% | |
| NEVADA | 57.7 | 59.0 | 2.3% | |
| NEWTON | 58.2 | 57.6 | -1.0% | |
| OUACHITA | 56.2 | 61.1 | 8.7% | |
| PERRY | 56.7 | 57.5 | 1.4% | |
| PHILLIPS | 56.2 | 56.9 | 1.2% | |
| PIKE | 55.6 | 57.8 | 4.0% | |
| POINSETT | 54.6 | 55.3 | 1.3% | |
| POLK | 57.5 | 59.7 | 3.8% | |
| POPE | 56.9 | 58.5 | 2.8% | |
| PRAIRIE | 58.6 | 57.4 | -2.0% | |
| PULASKI | 58.7 | 59.0 | 0.5% | |
| RANDOLPH | 56.1 | 54.2 | -3.4% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | | |
|----------------|------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| St. FRANCIS | 58.5 | 59.6 | 1.9% | |
| SALINE | 57.8 | 58.6 | 1.4% | |
| SCOTT | 57.6 | 60.3 | 4.7% | |
| SEARCY | 57.2 | 59.3 | 3.7% | |
| SEBASTIAN | 56.7 | 58.4 | 3.0% | |
| SEVIER | 53.9 | 55.4 | 2.8% | |
| SHARP | 57.2 | 56.1 | -1.9% | |
| STONE | 57.9 | 58.6 | 1.2% | |
| UNION | 57.9 | 56.3 | -2.8% | |
| VAN BUREN | 56.4 | 57.3 | 1.6% | |
| WASHINGTON | 56.5 | 57.6 | 1.9% | |
| WHITE | 58.0 | 57.8 | -0.3% | |
| WOODRUFF | 60.1 | 55.7 | -7.3% | |
| YELL | 57.1 | 57.3 | 0.4% | |
| <u>Florida</u> | | | | |
| ALACHUA | 59.6 | 59.4 | -0.3% | |
| BAKER | 59.4 | 58.5 | -1.5% | |
| BAY | 56.3 | 57.9 | 2.8% | |
| BRADFORD | 58.4 | 60.0 | 2.7% | |
| BREVARD | 60.1 | 57.1 | -5.0% | |
| BROWARD | 58.3 | 57.0 | -2.2% | |
| CALHOUN | 60.2 | 62.7 | 4.2% | |
| CHARLOTTE | 57.2 | 57.5 | 0.5% | |
| CITRUS | 58.9 | 61.4 | 4.2% | |
| CLAY | 58.9 | 57.5 | -2.4% | |
| COLLIER | 54.5 | 56.9 | 4.4% | |
| COLUMBIA | 60.7 | 60.2 | -0.8% | |
| MIAMI-DADE | 57.6 | 58.4 | 1.4% | |
| DE SOTO | 58.8 | 59.9 | 1.9% | |
| DIXIE | 61.6 | 61.4 | -0.3% | |
| DUVAL | 60.6 | 60.7 | 0.2% | |
| ESCAMBIA | 59.6 | 59.9 | 0.5% | |
| FLAGLER | 59.6 | 63.9 | 7.2% | |
| FRANKLIN | 52.6 | 64.5 | 22.6% | |
| GADSDEN | 59.8 | 61.5 | 2.8% | |
| GILCHRIST | 59.7 | 58.6 | -1.8% | |
| GLADES | 60.9 | 61.8 | 1.5% | |
| GULF | 59.3 | 56.8 | -4.2% | |
| HAMILTON | 60.7 | 62.4 | 2.8% | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | | |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| HARDEE | 59.8 | 60.3 | 0.8% | |
| HENDRY | 57.5 | 60.2 | 4.7% | |
| HERNANDO | 59.3 | 59.3 | 0.0% | |
| HIGHLANDS | 58.8 | 59.3 | 0.9% | |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 58.7 | 60.1 | 2.4% | |
| HOLMES | 59.5 | 61.6 | 3.5% | |
| INDIAN RIVER | 56.5 | 58.2 | 3.0% | |
| JACKSON | 58.1 | 61.7 | 6.2% | |
| JEFFERSON | 60.6 | 60.6 | 0.0% | |
| LAFAYETTE | 56.7 | 59.5 | 4.9% | |
| LAKE | 59.6 | 59.2 | -0.7% | |
| LEE | 57.5 | 56.8 | -1.2% | |
| LEON | 61.2 | 58.8 | -3.9% | |
| LEVY | 57.5 | 58.8 | 2.3% | |
| LIBERTY | 58.5 | 55.9 | -4.4% | |
| MADISON | 62.3 | 60.6 | -2.7% | |
| MANATEE | 58.3 | 59.5 | 2.1% | |
| MARION | 60.0 | 61.9 | 3.2% | |
| MARTIN | 56.5 | 53.9 | -4.6% | |
| MONROE | 51.7 | 50.2 | -2.9% | |
| NASSAU | 59.6 | 59.2 | -0.7% | |
| OKALOOSA | 59.3 | 62.9 | 6.1% | |
| OKEECHOBEE | 57.4 | 59.9 | 4.4% | |
| ORANGE | 58.7 | 57.8 | -1.5% | |
| OSCEOLA | 58.5 | 58.6 | 0.2% | |
| PALM BEACH | 57.2 | 57.0 | -0.3% | |
| PASCO | 58.6 | 59.5 | 1.5% | |
| PINELLAS | 58.9 | 55.9 | -5.1% | |
| POLK | 59.7 | 59.8 | 0.2% | |
| PUTNAM | 58.3 | 60.2 | 3.3% | |
| ST. JOHNS | 57.6 | 58.9 | 2.3% | |
| ST. LUCIE | 56.3 | 57.8 | 2.7% | |
| SANTA ROSA | 57.8 | 57.4 | -0.7% | |
| SARASOTA | 58.7 | 58.6 | -0.2% | |
| SEMINOLE | 56.5 | 58.3 | 3.2% | |
| SUMTER | 59.1 | 60.5 | 2.4% | |
| SUWANNEE | 59.2 | 60.0 | 1.4% | |
| TAYLOR | 62.9 | 63.8 | 1.4% | |
| UNION | 57.3 | 59.0 | 3.0% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|----------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County 2 | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| VOLUSIA | 56.7 | 58.6 | 3.4% |
| WAKULLA | 59.1 | 59.5 | 0.7% |
| WALTON | 60.9 | 61.5 | 1.0% |
| WASHINGTON | 59.7 | 61.2 | 2.5% |
| <u>Georgia</u> | | | |
| APPLING | 55.6 | 56.0 | 0.7% |
| ATKINSON | 56.6 | 56.4 | -0.4\$ |
| BACON | 55.6 | 53.7 | -3.4% |
| BAKER | 61.6 | 61.8 | 0.3% |
| BALDWIN | 60.5 | 56.8 | -6.1% |
| BANKS | 56.5 | 58.3 | 3.2% |
| BARROW | 61.0 | 61.6 | 1.0% |
| BARTOW | 57.4 | 59.3 | 3.3% |
| BEN HILL | 58.9 | 63.0 | 7.0% |
| BERRIEN | 57.3 | 59.9 | 4.5% |
| BIBB | 56.0 | 62.6 | 11.8% |
| BLECKLEY | 60.3 | 61.4 | 1.8% |
| BRANTLEY | 56.6 | 59.1 | 4.4% |
| BROOKS | 59.8 | 60.4 | 1.0% |
| BRYAN | 56.5 | 58.8 | 4.1% |
| BULLOCH | 56.9 | 58.6 | 3.0% |
| BURKE | 56.1 | 57.8 | 3.0% |
| BUTTS | 63.5 | 62.6 | -1.4% |
| CALHOUN | 58.2 | 59.6 | 2.4% |
| CAMDEN | 54.9 | 49.2 | -10.4% |
| CANDLER | 59.7 | 58.4 | -2.2% |
| CARROLL | 58.3 | 60.6 | 3.9% |
| CATOOSA | 57.7 | 58.1 | 0.7% |
| CHARLTON | 59.0 | 57.7 | -2.2% |
| CHATHAM | 55.7 | 63.9 | 14.7% |
| CHATTAHOOCHEE | 51.0 | 54.8 | 7.5% |
| CHATTOOGA | 57.9 | 59.8 | 3.3% |
| CHEROKEE | 57.6 | 60.0 | 4.2% |
| CLARKE | 54.7 | 59.3 | 8.4% |
| CLAY | 59.8 | 62.9 | 5.2% |
| CLAYTON | 64.3 | 63.4 | -1.4% |
| CLINCH | 53.7 | 59.6 | 11.0% |
| COBB | 58.5 | 55.9 | -4.4% |
| COFFEE | 55.3 | 57.9 | 4.7% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| State/County 2017 2022 Change COLQUITT 57.6 \$8.6 1.7% COLUMBIA 57.3 56.7 -1.0% COOK 59.1 61.2 3.6% COWETA 58.2 61.3 5.3% CRAWFORD 53.5 54.9 2.6% CRISP 57.7 61.8 7.1% DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DOOGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGIAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 | ZUZZ CEIISUS | Age | | |
|--|--------------|------|------|--------|
| COLUMBIA 57.3 56.7 -1.0% COOK 59.1 61.2 3.6% COWETA 58.2 61.3 5.3% CRAWFORD 53.5 54.9 2.6% CRISP 57.7 61.8 7.1% DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOUGH 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGHERY 68.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFRINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 <td< th=""><th>State/County</th><th></th><th></th><th>Change</th></td<> | State/County | | | Change |
| COOK 59.1 61.2 3.6% COWETA 58.2 61.3 5.3% CRAWFORD 53.5 54.9 2.6% CRISP 57.7 61.8 7.1% DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DOOGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGIAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANETTE 58.1 57.2< | COLQUITT | 57.6 | 58.6 | 1.7% |
| COWETA 58.2 61.3 5.3% CRAWFORD 53.5 54.9 2.6% CRISP 57.7 61.8 7.1% DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DOOGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 50.% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANTININ 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAVETTE 58.1 5 | COLUMBIA | 57.3 | 56.7 | -1.0% |
| CRAWFORD 53.5 54.9 2.6% CRISP 57.7 61.8 7.1% DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANRIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 1.5% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% | СООК | 59.1 | 61.2 | 3.6% |
| CRISP 57.7 61.8 7.1% DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANTIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% | COWETA | 58.2 | 61.3 | 5.3% |
| DADE 61.1 60.4 -1.1% DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EWANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANKIN 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57. | CRAWFORD | 53.5 | 54.9 | 2.6% |
| DAWSON 58.9 61.4 4.2% DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FUTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GIL | CRISP | 57.7 | 61.8 | 7.1% |
| DECATUR 58.3 62.9 7.9% DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANKIIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAVETTE 58.1 57.2 1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FUTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GLASCOCK 57.5 <td< td=""><td>DADE</td><td>61.1</td><td>60.4</td><td>-1.1%</td></td<> | DADE | 61.1 | 60.4 | -1.1% |
| DE KALB 47.4 51.7 9.1% DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 50% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANRIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 </td <td>DAWSON</td> <td>58.9</td> <td>61.4</td> <td>4.2%</td> | DAWSON | 58.9 | 61.4 | 4.2% |
| DODGE 58.1 58.8 1.2% DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57 | DECATUR | 58.3 | 62.9 | 7.9% |
| DOOLY 58.4 59.6 2.1% DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GREDNE 60.9 <td< td=""><td>DE KALB</td><td>47.4</td><td>51.7</td><td>9.1%</td></td<> | DE KALB | 47.4 | 51.7 | 9.1% |
| DOUGHERTY 63.0 59.0 -6.3% DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GREENE 60.9 <t< td=""><td>DODGE</td><td>58.1</td><td>58.8</td><td>1.2%</td></t<> | DODGE | 58.1 | 58.8 | 1.2% |
| DOUGLAS 60.8 59.1 -2.8% EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 | DOOLY | 58.4 | 59.6 | 2.1% |
| EARLY 58.4 60.3 3.3% ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABLL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% | DOUGHERTY | 63.0 | 59.0 | -6.3% |
| ECHOLS 58.3 61.2 5.0% EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% </td <td>DOUGLAS</td> <td>60.8</td> <td>59.1</td> <td>-2.8%</td> | DOUGLAS | 60.8 | 59.1 | -2.8% |
| EFFINGHAM 52.7 52.5 -0.4% ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% </td <td>EARLY</td> <td>58.4</td> <td>60.3</td> <td>3.3%</td> | EARLY | 58.4 | 60.3 | 3.3% |
| ELBERT 58.8 58.5 -0.5% EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | ECHOLS | 58.3 | 61.2 | 5.0% |
| EMANUEL 57.2 59.8 4.5% EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | EFFINGHAM | 52.7 | 52.5 | -0.4% |
| EVANS 61.7 60.1 -2.6% FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | ELBERT | 58.8 | 58.5 | -0.5% |
| FANNIN 57.2 58.2 1.7% FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | EMANUEL | 57.2 | 59.8 | 4.5% |
| FAYETTE 58.1 57.2 -1.5% FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | EVANS | 61.7 | 60.1 | -2.6% |
| FLOYD 57.6 58.5 1.6% FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | FANNIN | 57.2 | 58.2 | 1.7% |
| FORSYTH 58.5 59.7 2.1% FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | FAYETTE | 58.1 | 57.2 | -1.5% |
| FRANKLIN 57.2 57.5 0.5% FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | FLOYD | 57.6 | 58.5 | 1.6% |
| FULTON 55.0 57.4 4.4% GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | FORSYTH | 58.5 | 59.7 | 2.1% |
| GILMER 56.3 57.5 2.1% GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | FRANKLIN | 57.2 | 57.5 | 0.5% |
| GLASCOCK 57.5 60.1 4.5% GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | FULTON | 55.0 | 57.4 | 4.4% |
| GLYNN 54.2 51.9 -4.2% GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | GILMER | 56.3 | 57.5 | 2.1% |
| GORDON 55.3 57.5 4.0% GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | GLASCOCK | 57.5 | 60.1 | 4.5% |
| GRADY 59.3 60.2 1.5% GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | GLYNN | 54.2 | 51.9 | -4.2% |
| GREENE 60.9 59.9 -1.6% GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | GORDON | 55.3 | 57.5 | 4.0% |
| GWINNETT 56.0 60.5 8.0% HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | GRADY | 59.3 | 60.2 | 1.5% |
| HABERSHAM 55.9 58.2 4.1% HALL 58.5 57.8 -1.2% HANCOCK 63.5 64.7 1.9% HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | GREENE | 60.9 | 59.9 | -1.6% |
| HALL58.557.8-1.2%HANCOCK63.564.71.9%HARALSON56.558.33.2%HARRIS59.564.17.7% | GWINNETT | 56.0 | 60.5 | 8.0% |
| HANCOCK63.564.71.9%HARALSON56.558.33.2%HARRIS59.564.17.7% | HABERSHAM | 55.9 | 58.2 | 4.1% |
| HARALSON 56.5 58.3 3.2% HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | HALL | 58.5 | 57.8 | -1.2% |
| HARRIS 59.5 64.1 7.7% | HANCOCK | 63.5 | 64.7 | 1.9% |
| | HARALSON | 56.5 | 58.3 | 3.2% |
| HART 58.6 58.3 -0.5% | HARRIS | 59.5 | 64.1 | 7.7% |
| | HART | 58.6 | 58.3 | -0.5% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | | |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| HEARD | 55.9 | 55.7 | -0.4% | |
| HENRY | 58.2 | 61.2 | 5.2% | |
| HOUSTON | 58.0 | 56.2 | -3.1% | |
| IRWIN | 58.8 | 58.3 | -0.9% | |
| JACKSON | 57.5 | 59.2 | 3.0% | |
| JASPER | 56.0 | 57.6 | 2.9% | |
| JEFF DAVIS | 54.5 | 57.4 | 5.3% | |
| JEFFERSON | 59.0 | 59.3 | 0.5% | |
| JENKINS | 56.9 | 55.9 | -1.8% | |
| JOHNSON | 56.2 | 59.3 | 5.5% | |
| JONES | 54.1 | 60.3 | 11.5% | |
| LAMAR | 59.5 | 60.2 | 1.2% | |
| LANIER | 55.3 | 57.9 | 4.7% | |
| LAURENS | 60.2 | 60.6 | 0.7% | |
| LEE | 58.0 | 61.7 | 6.4% | |
| LIBERTY | 54.2 | 57.7 | 6.5% | |
| LINCOLN | 59.3 | 57.3 | -3.4% | |
| LONG | 54.0 | 56.7 | 5.0% | |
| LOWNDES | 57.1 | 58.6 | 2.6% | |
| LUMPKIN | 60.6 | 58.3 | -3.8% | |
| MCDUFFIE | 55.8 | 58.3 | 4.5% | |
| MCINTOSH | 60.1 | 54.2 | -9.8% | |
| MACON | 57.5 | 58.8 | 2.3% | |
| MADISON | 57.3 | 58.4 | 1.9% | |
| MARION | 57.6 | 57.9 | 0.5% | |
| MERIWETHER | 57.7 | 58.1 | 0.7% | |
| MILLER | 57.9 | 55.2 | -4.7% | |
| MITCHELL | 58.9 | 60.5 | 2.7% | |
| MONROE | 58.6 | 54.9 | -6.3% | |
| MONTGOMERY | 61.1 | 64.1 | 4.9% | |
| MORGAN | 58.7 | 61.4 | 4.6% | |
| MURRAY | 55.1 | 58.2 | 5.6% | |
| MUSCOGEE | 61.7 | 66.8 | 8.3% | |
| NEWTON | 59.2 | 57.0 | -3.7% | |
| OCONEE | 56.6 | 57.7 | 1.9% | |
| OGLETHORPE | 57.4 | 58.3 | 1.6% | |
| PAULDING | 57.3 | 57.5 | 0.3% | |
| PEACH | 57.8 | 56.2 | -2.8% | |
| PICKENS | 56.1 | 58.3 | 3.9% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age Age | | |
|--------------|---------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| PIERCE | 57.9 | 59.0 | 1.9% |
| PIKE | 58.5 | 57.9 | -1.0% |
| POLK | 59.0 | 58.0 | -1.7% |
| PULASKI | 57.9 | 58.0 | 0.2% |
| PUTNAM | 57.4 | 61.3 | 6.8% |
| QUITMAN | 56.9 | 55.3 | -2.8% |
| RABUN | 56.9 | 54.5 | -4.2% |
| RANDOLPH | 60.7 | 63.1 | 4.0% |
| RICHMOND | 57.6 | 57.6 | 0.0% |
| ROCKDALE | 57.9 | 64.3 | 11.1% |
| SCHLEY | 60.2 | 56.9 | -5.5% |
| SCREVEN | 59.2 | 57.8 | -2.4% |
| SEMINOLE | 60.9 | 57.3 | -5.9% |
| SPALDING | 61.4 | 58.2 | -5.2% |
| STEPHENS | 58.4 | 57.5 | -1.5% |
| STEWART | 63.7 | 65.2 | 2.4% |
| SUMTER | 58.6 | 59.4 | 1.4% |
| TALBOT | 62.0 | 59.5 | -4.0% |
| TALIAFERRO | 63.4 | 60.1 | -5.2% |
| TATTNALL | 58.9 | 58.4 | -0.8% |
| TAYLOR | 61.7 | 59.7 | -3.2% |
| TELFAIR | 57.9 | 60.1 | 3.8% |
| TERRELL | 60.4 | 63.1 | 4.5% |
| THOMAS | 58.5 | 60.8 | 3.9% |
| TIFT | 56.7 | 58.3 | 2.8% |
| TOOMBS | 61.0 | 59.4 | -2.6% |
| TOWNS | 58.1 | 57.7 | -0.7% |
| TREUTLEN | 60.2 | 58.8 | -2.3% |
| TROUP | 58.1 | 60.4 | 4.0% |
| TURNER | 56.6 | 59.4 | 4.9% |
| TWIGGS | 57.0 | 65.5 | 14.9% |
| UNION | 57.5 | 59.6 | 3.7% |
| UPSON | 57.7 | 57.8 | 0.2% |
| WALKER | 55.5 | 58.6 | 5.6% |
| WALTON | 59.5 | 61.3 | 3.0% |
| WARE | 57.3 | 58.5 | 2.1% |
| WARREN | 58.9 | 60.0 | 1.9% |
| WASHINGTON | 58.7 | 59.9 | 2.0% |
| WAYNE | 58.6 | 56.4 | -3.8% |
| | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | A | ge_ | |
|--------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| WEBSTER | 57.7 | 64.4 | 11.6% |
| WHEELER | 60.4 | 60.6 | 0.3% |
| WHITE | 56.3 | 59.1 | 5.0% |
| WHITFIELD | 57.5 | 60.7 | 5.6% |
| WILCOX | 59.8 | 61.8 | 3.3% |
| WILKES | 58.6 | 61.3 | 4.6% |
| WILKINSON | 59.0 | 56.9 | -3.6% |
| WORTH | 58.5 | 60.3 | 3.1% |
| Kentucky | | | |
| ADAIR | 56.4 | 57.9 | 2.7% |
| ALLEN | 53.7 | 55.0 | 2.4% |
| ANDERSON | 55.4 | 54.8 | -1.1% |
| BALLARD | 56.9 | 56.3 | -1.1% |
| BARREN | 55.6 | 57.6 | 3.6% |
| BATH | 55.9 | 55.4 | -0.9% |
| BELL | 54.0 | 55.8 | 3.3% |
| BOONE | 57.1 | 56.8 | -0.5% |
| BOURBON | 56.6 | 57.6 | 1.8% |
| BOYD | 55.5 | 57.5 | 3.6% |
| BOYLE | 57.3 | 58.7 | 2.4% |
| BRACKEN | 56.2 | 55.2 | -1.8% |
| BREATHITT | 52.5 | 57.5 | 9.5% |
| BRECKINRIDGE | 55.3 | 57.7 | 4.3% |
| BULLITT | 57.4 | 58.3 | 1.6% |
| BUTLER | 56.6 | 56.2 | -0.7% |
| CALDWELL | 57.4 | 55.0 | -4.2% |
| CALLOWAY | 57.1 | 58.6 | 2.6% |
| CAMPBELL | 56.7 | 57.8 | 1.9% |
| CARLISLE | 56.4 | 59.5 | 5.5% |
| CARROLL | 56.3 | 56.9 | 1.1% |
| CARTER | 55.6 | 57.6 | 3.6% |
| CASEY | 55.9 | 56.0 | 0.2% |
| CHRISTIAN | 54.3 | 56.4 | 3.9% |
| CLARK | 58.7 | 57.4 | -2.2% |
| CLAY | 54.4 | 58.3 | 7.2% |
| CLINTON | 56.5 | 58.5 | 3.5% |
| CRITTENDEN | 55.5 | 55.9 | 0.7% |
| CUMBERLAND | 57.1 | 53.5 | -6.3% |
| DAVIESS | 55.1 | 56.1 | 1.8% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|--------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| EDMONSON | 56.8 | 55.9 | -1.6% |
| ELLIOTT | 56.8 | 56.7 | -0.2% |
| ESTILL | 55.7 | 55.4 | -0.5% |
| FAYETTE | 57.4 | 57.4 | 0.0% |
| FLEMING | 55.8 | 56.4 | 1.1% |
| FLOYD | 52.5 | 59.4 | 13.1% |
| FRANKLIN | 56.7 | 57.7 | 1.8% |
| FULTON | 57.2 | 58.7 | 2.6% |
| GALLATIN | 53.6 | 59.0 | 10.1% |
| GARRARD | 55.5 | 56.8 | 2.3% |
| GRANT | 57.0 | 56.9 | -0.2% |
| GRAVES | 57.5 | 57.1 | -0.7% |
| GRAYSON | 57.2 | 58.4 | 2.1% |
| GREEN | 55.5 | 56.9 | 2.5% |
| GREENUP | 55.2 | 57.0 | 3.3% |
| HANCOCK | 54.7 | 53.7 | -1.8% |
| HARDIN | 57.2 | 58.2 | 1.7% |
| HARLAN | 52.7 | 57.5 | 9.1% |
| HARRISON | 55.3 | 55.5 | 0.4% |
| HART | 54.2 | 55.6 | 2.6% |
| HENDERSON | 57.3 | 59.6 | 4.0% |
| HENRY | 57.2 | 57.8 | 1.0% |
| HICKMAN | 57.4 | 58.7 | 2.3% |
| HOPKINS | 54.9 | 56.8 | 3.5% |
| JACKSON | 57.6 | 55.3 | -4.0% |
| JEFFERSON | 60.4 | 57.7 | -4.5% |
| JESSAMINE | 57.5 | 58.2 | 1.2% |
| JOHNSON | 55.0 | 53.1 | -3.5% |
| KENTON | 57.6 | 58.0 | 0.7% |
| KNOTT | 50.5 | 62.0 | 22.8% |
| KNOX | 56.1 | 57.8 | 3.0% |
| LARUE | 56.1 | 55.5 | -1.1% |
| LAUREL | 58.3 | 57.7 | -1.0% |
| LAWRENCE | 56.8 | 57.5 | 1.2% |
| LEE | 56.8 | 53.0 | -6.7% |
| LESLIE | 54.0 | 65.4 | 21.1% |
| LETCHER | 51.6 | 54.9 | 6.4% |
| LEWIS | 56.7 | 56.8 | 0.2% |
| LINCOLN | 54.9 | 55.7 | 1.5% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | |
|------------------|------------|--------|--|
| State/County 201 | | Change | |
| LIVINGSTON 59. | 5 57.7 | -3.0% | |
| LOGAN 56.5 | 9 59.0 | 3.7% | |
| LYON 59.3 | 2 59.4 | 0.3% | |
| MCCRACKEN 56.4 | 4 56.4 | 0.0% | |
| MCCREARY 56. | 1 58.1 | 3.6% | |
| MCLEAN 55. | 7 56.0 | 0.5% | |
| MADISON 57. | 58.6 | 1.7% | |
| MAGOFFIN 55 | 3 56.2 | 1.6% | |
| MARION 56. | 9 58.0 | 1.9% | |
| MARSHALL 56.9 | 9 60.7 | 6.7% | |
| MARTIN 46.5 | 9 54.7 | 16.6% | |
| MASON 54.5 | 9 55.2 | 0.5% | |
| MEADE 54. | 3 56.3 | 3.7% | |
| MENIFEE 55. | 4 58.0 | 4.7% | |
| MERCER 54. | 57.2 | 5.9% | |
| METCALFE 54. | 9 57.5 | 4.7% | |
| MONROE 54. | 4 55.6 | 2.2% | |
| MONTGOMERY 57. | 57.0 | -1.0% | |
| MORGAN 55 | 2 57.5 | 4.2% | |
| MUHLENBERG 57. | 1 59.4 | 4.0% | |
| NELSON 56.: | 3 56.6 | 0.5% | |
| NICHOLAS 54. | 55.1 | 0.9% | |
| OHIO 54. | 7 57.6 | 5.3% | |
| OLDHAM 57. | 5 59.8 | 4.0% | |
| OWEN 55. | 56.8 | 1.6% | |
| OWSLEY 54.: | 3 55.8 | 2.8% | |
| PENDLETON 56. | 7 57.0 | 0.5% | |
| PERRY 51. | 5 52.2 | 1.4% | |
| PIKE 56. | 2 55.4 | -1.4% | |
| POWELL 57 | 3 59.7 | 4.2% | |
| PULASKI 57 | 2 58.0 | 1.4% | |
| ROBERTSON 57. | 1 58.3 | 2.1% | |
| ROCKCASTLE 54.3 | 57.6 | 5.1% | |
| ROWAN 58. | 3 56.5 | -3.1% | |
| RUSSELL 57.5 | 9 57.2 | -1.2% | |
| SCOTT 56. | 1 57.2 | 2.0% | |
| SHELBY 57. | 58.0 | 1.8% | |
| SIMPSON 56. | 7 56.3 | -0.7% | |
| SPENCER 58.0 | 57.3 | -1.2% | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|------------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County 2 | | 2022 | Change |
| TAYLOR 5 | 6.5 | 58.1 | 2.8% |
| TODD 5 | 51.0 | 53.2 | 4.3% |
| TRIGG | 55.1 | 56.0 | 1.6% |
| TRIMBLE 5 | 57.8 | 58.0 | 0.3% |
| UNION | 57.3 | 56.8 | -0.9% |
| WARREN 5 | 57.2 | 57.9 | 1.2% |
| WASHINGTON 5 | 55.2 | 57.0 | 3.3% |
| WAYNE 5 | 56.6 | 57.6 | 1.8% |
| WEBSTER 5 | 6.9 | 58.4 | 2.6% |
| WHITLEY | 56.3 | 55.2 | -2.0% |
| WOLFE 5 | 54.3 | 54.2 | -0.2% |
| WOODFORD | 58.2 | 56.2 | -3.4% |
| <u>Louisiana</u> | | | |
| ACADIA 5 | 56.3 | 56.7 | 0.7% |
| ALLEN 5 | 56.3 | 58.3 | 3.6% |
| ASCENSION | 58.9 | 58.2 | -1.2% |
| ASSUMPTION 5 | 50.6 | 54.2 | 7.1% |
| AVOYELLES | 56.1 | 56.0 | -0.2% |
| BEAUREGARD | 57.4 | 55.6 | -3.1% |
| BIENVILLE | 50.4 | 56.1 | -7.1% |
| BOSSIER 5 | 57.6 | 58.0 | 0.7% |
| CADDO | 58.0 | 59.5 | 2.6% |
| CALCASIEU 5 | 55.3 | 56.6 | 2.4% |
| CALDWELL 5 | 66.9 | 61.9 | 8.8% |
| CAMERON 5 | 56.2 | 50.4 | -10.3% |
| CATAHOULA 5 | 56.1 | 58.9 | 5.0% |
| CLAIBORNE | 59.2 | 56.4 | -4.7% |
| CONCORDIA | 58.5 | 61.4 | 5.0% |
| DE SOTO 5 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 0.0% |
| EAST BATON ROUGE | 58.1 | 56.1 | -3.4% |
| EAST CARROLL 5 | 55.0 | 54.9 | -0.2% |
| EAST FELICIANA 5 | 58.3 | 62.1 | 6.5% |
| EVANGELINE | 56.8 | 57.7 | 1.6% |
| FRANKLIN 5 | 58.8 | 59.1 | 0.5% |
| GRANT | 59.5 | 61.2 | 2.9% |
| IBERIA 5 | 57.4 | 58.4 | 1.7% |
| IBERVILLE 5 | 55.7 | 56.2 | 0.9% |
| JACKSON 5 | 56.9 | 54.1 | -4.9% |
| JEFFERSON 6 | 50.0 | 67.6 | 12.7% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | |
|----------------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| JEFFERSON DAVIS | 55.2 | 55.4 | 0.4% |
| LAFAYETTE | 57.0 | 58.6 | 2.8% |
| LAFOURCHE | 55.4 | 54.1 | -2.3% |
| LA SALLE | 53.5 | 52.8 | -1.3% |
| LINCOLN | 57.2 | 58.2 | 1.7% |
| LIVINGSTON | 55.9 | 55.4 | -0.9% |
| MADISON | 57.0 | 61.1 | 7.2% |
| MOREHOUSE | 59.0 | 58.3 | -1.2% |
| NATCHITOCHES | 58.0 | 59.5 | 2.6% |
| ORLEANS | 45.0 | 43.2 | -4.0% |
| OUACHITA | 58.4 | 59.3 | 1.5% |
| PLAQUEMINES | 60.2 | 59.5 | -1.2% |
| POINTE COUPEE | 58.7 | 58.8 | 0.2% |
| RAPIDES | 56.9 | 57.5 | 1.1% |
| RED RIVER | 60.0 | 60.7 | 1.2% |
| RICHLAND | 59.6 | 61.0 | 2.3% |
| SABINE | 55.7 | 58.0 | 4.1% |
| St. BERNARD | 57.7 | 57.1 | -1.0% |
| St. CHARLES | 55.8 | 63.4 | 13.6% |
| St. HELENA | 59.9 | 58.6 | -2.2% |
| St. JAMES | 58.3 | 56.4 | -3.3% |
| ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST | 58.5 | 68.7 | 17.4% |
| St. LANDRY | 57.7 | 59.6 | 3.3% |
| St. MARTIN | 57.1 | 57.4 | 0.5% |
| St. MARY | 53.2 | 58.0 | 9.0% |
| St. TAMMANY | 59.3 | 60.4 | 1.9% |
| TANGIPAHOA | 56.3 | 58.0 | 3.0% |
| TENSAS | 61.1 | 58.8 | -3.8% |
| TERREBONNE | 58.8 | 58.9 | 0.2% |
| UNION | 58.2 | 55.3 | -5.0% |
| VERMILION | 57.0 | 57.0 | 0.0% |
| VERNON | 54.9 | 55.5 | 1.1% |
| WASHINGTON | 59.2 | 59.2 | 0.0% |
| WEBSTER | 58.4 | 61.6 | 5.5% |
| WEST BATON ROUGE | 52.8 | 59.4 | 12.5% |
| WEST CARROLL | 61.0 | 63.6 | 4.3% |
| WEST FELICIANA | 53.4 | 56.0 | 4.9% |
| WINN | 54.4 | 60.0 | 10.3% |
| <u>Maryland</u> | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | |
|-----------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| ALLEGANY | 58.0 | 60.4 | 4.1% |
| ANNE ARUNDEL | 58.3 | 57.1 | -2.1% |
| BALTIMORE | 58.5 | 58.0 | -0.9% |
| CALVERT | 56.8 | 58.0 | 2.1% |
| CAROLINE | 58.0 | 59.5 | 2.6% |
| CARROLL | 57.1 | 57.8 | 1.2% |
| CECIL | 56.8 | 56.0 | -1.4% |
| CHARLES | 56.8 | 57.0 | 0.4% |
| DORCHESTER | 58.7 | 58.7 | 0.0% |
| FREDERICK | 56.5 | 56.8 | 0.5% |
| GARRETT | 54.5 | 55.3 | 1.5% |
| HARFORD | 57.4 | 58.9 | 2.6% |
| HOWARD | 60.0 | 58.6 | -2.3% |
| KENT | 59.8 | 61.3 | 2.5% |
| MONTGOMERY | 57.5 | 57.5 | 0.0% |
| PRINCE GEORGE'S | 57.5 | 59.3 | 3.1% |
| QUEEN ANNE'S | 57.6 | 59.4 | 3.1% |
| St. MARY'S | 55.0 | 55.5 | 0.9% |
| SOMERSET | 57.6 | 61.7 | 7.1% |
| TALBOT | 59.5 | 61.3 | 3.0% |
| WASHINGTON | 52.1 | 54.5 | 4.6% |
| WICOMICO | 58.0 | 61.4 | 5.9% |
| WORCESTER | 58.6 | 59.4 | 1.4% |
| Mississippi | | | |
| ADAMS | 60.4 | 60.6 | 0.3% |
| ALCORN | 58.4 | 60.0 | 2.7% |
| AMITE | 61.0 | 61.8 | 1.3% |
| ATTALA | 59.7 | 61.5 | 3.0% |
| BENTON | 60.8 | 59.9 | -1.5% |
| BOLIVAR | 59.9 | 62.0 | 3.5% |
| CALHOUN | 60.0 | 61.2 | 2.0% |
| CARROLL | 60.9 | 61.7 | 1.3% |
| CHICKASAW | 60.2 | 60.4 | 0.3% |
| CHOCTAW | 60.4 | 62.8 | 4.0% |
| CLAIBORNE | 62.5 | 62.1 | -0.6% |
| CLARKE | 57.4 | 57.0 | -0.7% |
| CLAY | 58.8 | 58.4 | -0.7% |
| COAHOMA | 57.0 | 59.1 | 3.7% |
| COPIAH | 59.2 | 60.8 | 2.7% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | |
|-----------------|------------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| COVINGTON | 55.7 | 56.8 | 2.0% |
| DE SOTO | 62.1 | 59.6 | -4.0% |
| FORREST | 56.7 | 57.0 | 0.5% |
| FRANKLIN | 58.4 | 60.0 | 2.7% |
| GEORGE | 55.9 | 58.9 | 5.4% |
| GREENE | 53.7 | 55.9 | 4.1% |
| GRENADA | 59.0 | 61.3 | 3.9% |
| HANCOCK | 57.7 | 56.6 | -1.9% |
| HARRISON | 58.2 | 57.3 | -1.5% |
| HINDS | 62.0 | 61.7 | -0.5% |
| HOLMES | 59.7 | 61.2 | 2.5% |
| HUMPHREYS | 57.9 | 61.0 | 5.4% |
| ISSAQUENA | 58.9 | 59.0 | 0.2% |
| ITAWAMBA | 59.8 | 61.5 | 2.8% |
| JACKSON | 58.1 | 55.6 | -4.3% |
| JASPER | 56.7 | 59.1 | 4.2% |
| JEFFERSON | 60.8 | 61.9 | 1.8% |
| JEFFERSON DAVIS | 61.6 | 59.5 | -3.4% |
| JONES | 57.7 | 59.3 | 2.8% |
| KEMPER | 64.0 | 60.8 | -5.0% |
| LAFAYETTE | 60.3 | 63.2 | 4.8% |
| LAMAR | 56.0 | 57.2 | 2.1% |
| LAUDERDALE | 57.6 | 63.0 | 9.4% |
| LAWRENCE | 58.7 | 58.1 | -1.0% |
| LEAKE | 58.9 | 60.5 | 2.7% |
| LEE | 60.0 | 59.5 | -0.8% |
| LEFLORE | 56.9 | 59.1 | 3.9% |
| LINCOLN | 56.4 | 58.5 | 3.7% |
| LOWNDES | 57.4 | 61.2 | 6.6% |
| MADISON | 63.2 | 62.1 | -1.7% |
| MARION | 60.0 | 58.1 | -3.2% |
| MARSHALL | 59.9 | 59.0 | -1.5% |
| MONROE | 59.9 | 62.0 | 3.5% |
| MONTGOMERY | 58.9 | 60.5 | 2.7% |
| NESHOBA | 56.8 | 58.4 | 2.8% |
| NEWTON | 57.3 | 57.1 | -0.3% |
| NOXUBEE | 58.1 | 58.7 | 1.0% |
| OKTIBBEHA | 57.1 | 59.0 | 3.3% |
| PANOLA | 61.0 | 62.6 | 2.6% |
| | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|----------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| PEARL RIVER | 59.1 | 60.1 | 1.7% |
| PERRY | 59.9 | 57.3 | -4.3% |
| PIKE | 61.8 | 60.7 | -1.8% |
| PONTOTOC | 59.3 | 59.1 | -0.3% |
| PRENTISS | 59.3 | 56.8 | -4.2% |
| QUITMAN | 59.4 | 62.5 | 5.2% |
| RANKIN | 58.2 | 59.4 | 2.1% |
| SCOTT | 57.4 | 58.3 | 1.6% |
| SHARKEY | 55.8 | 54.8 | -1.8% |
| SIMPSON | 58.9 | 60.9 | 3.4% |
| SMITH | 58.0 | 58.4 | 0.7% |
| STONE | 57.5 | 58.5 | 1.7% |
| SUNFLOWER | 57.4 | 56.4 | -1.7% |
| TALLAHATCHIE | 57.2 | 58.7 | 2.6% |
| TATE | 59.8 | 56.9 | -4.8% |
| TIPPAH | 60.6 | 61.7 | 1.8% |
| TISHOMINGO | 57.8 | 57.7 | -0.2% |
| TUNICA | 55.6 | 53.5 | -3.8% |
| UNION | 60.2 | 62.6 | 4.0% |
| WALTHALL | 58.6 | 59.7 | 1.9% |
| WARREN | 60.7 | 61.4 | 1.2% |
| WASHINGTON | 55.2 | 56.5 | 2.4% |
| WAYNE | 56.6 | 56.9 | 0.5% |
| WEBSTER | 62.9 | 60.2 | -4.3% |
| WILKINSON | 58.7 | 60.9 | 3.7% |
| WINSTON | 60.7 | 60.8 | 0.2% |
| YALOBUSHA | 59.8 | 62.3 | 4.2% |
| YAZOO | 58.9 | 59.1 | 0.3% |
| North Carolina | | | |
| ALAMANCE | 58.3 | 58.5 | 0.3% |
| ALEXANDER | 56.0 | 55.6 | -0.7% |
| ALLEGHANY | 58.8 | 60.8 | 3.4% |
| ANSON | 58.7 | 56.9 | -3.1% |
| ASHE | 57.9 | 59.3 | 2.4% |
| AVERY | 59.9 | 58.1 | -3.0% |
| BEAUFORT | 57.0 | 55.0 | -3.5% |
| BERTIE | 59.1 | 58.2 | -1.5% |
| BLADEN | 57.8 | 58.8 | 1.7% |
| BRUNSWICK | 57.7 | 56.3 | -2.4% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | |
|------------------|------------|-----------|--|
| State/County 203 | | 22 Change | |
| BUNCOMBE 58 | .2 57 | .9 -0.5% | |
| BURKE 57 | .5 55 | .7 -3.1% | |
| CABARRUS 57 | .5 59 | .0 2.6% | |
| CALDWELL 57 | .2 56 | -0.9% | |
| CAMDEN 57 | .9 55 | .6 -4.0% | |
| CARTERET 59 | .2 56 | -4.2% | |
| CASWELL 61 | .7 59 | .8 -3.1% | |
| CATAWBA 57 | .1 59 | .3 3.9% | |
| CHATHAM 58 | .4 58 | -0.2% | |
| CHEROKEE 57 | .3 59 | .0 3.0% | |
| CHOWAN 57 | .0 54 | 3 -4.7% | |
| CLAY 59 | .9 61 | .1 2.0% | |
| CLEVELAND 58 | .6 58 | -0.7% | |
| COLUMBUS 59 | .2 59 | .5 0.5% | |
| CRAVEN 57 | .5 56 | -1.9% | |
| CUMBERLAND 57 | .0 59 | .3 4.0% | |
| CURRITUCK 56 | .2 56 | -0.4% | |
| DARE 53 | .6 50 | .9 -5.0% | |
| DAVIDSON 59 | .0 59 | .2 0.3% | |
| DAVIE 59 | .6 60 | 1.3% | |
| DUPLIN 58 | .5 56 | .9 -2.7% | |
| DURHAM 56 | .1 54 | .4 -3.0% | |
| EDGECOMBE 59 | .1 55 | .9 -5.4% | |
| FORSYTH 59 | .6 60 | .5 1.5% | |
| FRANKLIN 60 | .2 58 | -2.5% | |
| GASTON 57 | .7 58 | 1.4% | |
| GATES 58 | .5 55 | .8 -4.6% | |
| GRAHAM 58 | .3 58 | -0.3% | |
| GRANVILLE 59 | .7 59 | .0 -1.2% | |
| GREENE 58 | .7 58 | -0.2% | |
| GUILFORD 58 | .6 58 | 0.2% | |
| HALIFAX 61 | .2 62 | .2 1.6% | |
| HARNETT 58 | .1 58 | 0.9% | |
| HAYWOOD 56 | .2 57 | .7 2.7% | |
| HENDERSON 56 | .8 57 | 0.9% | |
| HERTFORD 58 | .0 57 | .0 -1.7% | |
| HOKE 57 | .0 55 | .4 -2.8% | |
| HYDE 52 | .3 58 | 12.4% | |
| IREDELL 59 | .5 58 | -2.2% | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|--------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| JACKSON | 61.7 | 60.3 | -2.3% |
| JOHNSTON | 57.2 | 56.4 | -1.4% |
| JONES | 55.5 | 57.3 | 3.2% |
| LEE | 58.1 | 62.3 | 7.2% |
| LENOIR | 57.8 | 58.6 | 1.4% |
| LINCOLN | 57.5 | 59.5 | 3.5% |
| MCDOWELL | 58.3 | 58.4 | 0.2% |
| MACON | 59.8 | 56.2 | -6.0% |
| MADISON | 57.7 | 59.9 | 3.8% |
| MARTIN | 58.8 | 56.3 | -4.3% |
| MECKLENBURG | 60.4 | 59.7 | -1.2% |
| MITCHELL | 57.0 | 55.2 | -3.2% |
| MONTGOMERY | 57.2 | 57.3 | 0.2% |
| MOORE | 57.8 | 58.3 | 0.9% |
| NASH | 57.7 | 57.2 | -0.9% |
| NEW HANOVER | 53.8 | 61.0 | 13.4% |
| NORTHAMPTON | 59.4 | 56.1 | -5.6% |
| ONSLOW | 58.3 | 57.2 | -1.9% |
| ORANGE | 57.5 | 58.1 | 1.0% |
| PAMLICO | 56.6 | 55.9 | -1.2% |
| PASQUOTANK | 56.1 | 56.6 | 0.9% |
| PENDER | 57.9 | 55.2 | -4.7% |
| PERQUIMANS | 58.9 | 55.7 | -5.4% |
| PERSON | 54.7 | 58.5 | 6.9% |
| PITT | 56.0 | 55.8 | -0.4% |
| POLK | 57.7 | 60.9 | 5.5% |
| RANDOLPH | 57.2 | 56.7 | -0.9% |
| RICHMOND | 57.2 | 57.4 | 0.3% |
| ROBESON | 58.5 | 59.2 | 1.2% |
| ROCKINGHAM | 58.0 | 60.1 | 3.6% |
| ROWAN | 57.1 | 58.0 | 1.6% |
| RUTHERFORD | 58.6 | 57.0 | -2.7% |
| SAMPSON | 57.2 | 57.1 | -0.2% |
| SCOTLAND | 58.8 | 53.7 | -8.7% |
| STANLY | 57.9 | 58.5 | 1.0% |
| STOKES | 59.4 | 58.7 | -1.2% |
| SURRY | 58.2 | 58.8 | 1.0% |
| SWAIN | 58.4 | 56.7 | -2.9% |
| TRANSYLVANIA | 58.3 | 60.9 | 4.5% |
| | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | |
|-------------------|------------|--------|
| State/County 2017 | | Change |
| TYRRELL 58.2 | 58.6 | 0.7% |
| UNION 58.0 | 59.6 | 2.8% |
| VANCE 60.7 | 61.7 | 1.6% |
| WAKE 57.7 | 56.7 | -1.7% |
| WARREN 59.1 | 61.3 | 3.7% |
| WASHINGTON 57.7 | 60.4 | 4.7% |
| WATAUGA 59.7 | 60.3 | 1.0% |
| WAYNE 57.1 | 58.1 | 1.8% |
| WILKES 58.2 | 57.9 | -0.5% |
| WILSON 57.1 | 57.0 | -0.2% |
| YADKIN 56.9 | 58.6 | 3.0% |
| YANCEY 57.2 | 56.4 | -1.4% |
| <u>Oklahoma</u> | | |
| ADAIR 56.7 | 56.6 | -0.2% |
| ALFALFA 57.8 | 58.5 | 1.2% |
| ATOKA 56.5 | 59.0 | 4.4% |
| BEAVER 60.7 | 64.6 | 6.4% |
| BECKHAM 58.0 | 56.9 | -1.9% |
| BLAINE 56.3 | 56.7 | 0.7% |
| BRYAN 57.7 | 58.9 | 2.1% |
| CADDO 58.6 | 57.6 | -1.7% |
| CANADIAN 56.5 | 56.6 | 0.2% |
| CARTER 56.5 | 57.4 | 1.6% |
| CHEROKEE 57.6 | 56.3 | -2.3% |
| CHOCTAW 57.2 | 59.0 | 3.1% |
| CIMARRON 58.9 | 59.1 | 0.3% |
| CLEVELAND 57.4 | 58.0 | 1.0% |
| COAL 57.8 | 57.0 | -1.4% |
| COMANCHE 56.3 | 57.6 | 2.3% |
| COTTON 56.7 | 56.6 | -0.2% |
| CRAIG 56.6 | 58.7 | 3.7% |
| CREEK 55.9 | 57.3 | 2.5% |
| CUSTER 55.4 | 56.2 | 1.4% |
| DELAWARE 54.9 | 56.3 | 2.6% |
| DEWEY 58.8 | 58.1 | -1.2% |
| ELLIS 60.5 | 59.7 | -1.3% |
| GARFIELD 58.1 | 57.1 | -1.7% |
| GARVIN 56.6 | 57.1 | 0.9% |
| GRADY 57.6 | 56.5 | -1.9% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| GRANT | 58.3 | 58.1 | -0.3% |
| GREER | 57.2 | 58.9 | 3.0% |
| HARMON | 58.5 | 57.0 | -2.6% |
| HARPER | 59.3 | 60.6 | 2.2% |
| HASKELL | 56.8 | 56.5 | -0.5% |
| HUGHES | 57.9 | 58.6 | 1.2% |
| JACKSON | 57.0 | 56.5 | -0.9% |
| JEFFERSON | 58.8 | 59.1 | 0.5% |
| JOHNSTON | 57.9 | 57.4 | -0.9% |
| KAY | 58.0 | 59.6 | 2.8% |
| KINGFISHER | 58.1 | 59.2 | 1.9% |
| KIOWA | 57.1 | 59.3 | 3.9% |
| LATIMER | 55.0 | 57.0 | 3.6% |
| LEFLORE | 55.3 | 57.0 | 3.1% |
| LINCOLN | 56.6 | 56.5 | -0.2% |
| LOGAN | 58.7 | 57.6 | -1.9% |
| LOVE | 57.8 | 56.0 | -3.1% |
| MCCLAIN | 56.1 | 56.4 | 0.5% |
| MCCURTAIN | 54.8 | 57.5 | 4.9% |
| MCINTOSH | 57.1 | 58.0 | 1.6% |
| MAJOR | 57.1 | 57.1 | 0.0% |
| MARSHALL | 57.1 | 58.9 | 3.2% |
| MAYES | 55.2 | 57.9 | 4.9% |
| MURRAY | 57.1 | 55.7 | -2.5% |
| MUSKOGEE | 57.3 | 57.5 | 0.3% |
| NOBLE | 56.6 | 57.3 | 1.2% |
| NOWATA | 55.9 | 57.1 | 2.1% |
| OKFUSKEE | 55.8 | 55.8 | 0.0% |
| OKLAHOMA | 59.0 | 58.3 | -1.2% |
| OKMULGEE | 56.2 | 59.2 | 5.3% |
| OSAGE | 57.1 | 57.2 | 0.2% |
| OTTAWA | 57.2 | 58.1 | 1.6% |
| PAWNEE | 57.4 | 56.7 | -1.2% |
| PAYNE | 56.9 | 57.0 | 0.2% |
| PITTSBURG | 57.7 | 59.1 | 2.4% |
| PONTOTOC | 56.5 | 57.5 | 1.8% |
| POTTAWATOMIE | 55.9 | 56.3 | 0.7% |
| PUSHMATAHA | 57.5 | 58.4 | 1.6% |
| ROGER MILLS | 60.4 | 59.9 | -0.8% |
| | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|----------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| ROGERS | 56.7 | 57.4 | 1.2% |
| SEMINOLE | 57.8 | 58.6 | 1.4% |
| SEQUOYAH | 55.8 | 57.7 | 3.4% |
| STEPHENS | 56.4 | 59.8 | 6.0% |
| TEXAS | 59.9 | 62.9 | 5.0% |
| TILLMAN | 57.3 | 60.0 | 4.7% |
| TULSA | 57.2 | 56.5 | -1.2% |
| WAGONER | 56.3 | 56.4 | 0.2% |
| WASHINGTON | 56.4 | 58.1 | 3.0% |
| WASHITA | 57.2 | 55.6 | -2.8% |
| WOODS | 56.1 | 58.5 | 4.3% |
| WOODWARD | 57.0 | 57.1 | 0.2% |
| South Carolina | | | |
| ABBEVILLE | 56.1 | 58.1 | 3.6% |
| AIKEN | 58.9 | 57.4 | -2.5% |
| ALLENDALE | 58.9 | 64.5 | 9.5% |
| ANDERSON | 57.8 | 58.1 | 0.5% |
| BAMBERG | 59.1 | 57.9 | -2.0% |
| BARNWELL | 56.4 | 56.2 | -0.4% |
| BEAUFORT | 55.9 | 59.7 | 6.8% |
| BERKELEY | 55.8 | 56.4 | 1.1% |
| CALHOUN | 60.5 | 59.2 | -2.1% |
| CHARLESTON | 57.8 | 58.4 | 1.0% |
| CHEROKEE | 57.2 | 60.3 | 5.4% |
| CHESTER | 59.4 | 59.1 | -0.5% |
| CHESTERFIELD | 58.3 | 59.4 | 1.9% |
| CLARENDON | 58.1 | 58.6 | 0.9% |
| COLLETON | 58.6 | 60.7 | 3.6% |
| DARLINGTON | 56.9 | 57.8 | 1.6% |
| DILLON | 59.3 | 56.1 | -5.4% |
| DORCHESTER | 58.1 | 59.5 | 2.4% |
| EDGEFIELD | 58.0 | 58.2 | 0.3% |
| FAIRFIELD | 59.1 | 58.3 | -1.4% |
| FLORENCE | 58.6 | 59.4 | 1.4% |
| GEORGETOWN | 61.5 | 64.2 | 4.4% |
| GREENVILLE | 58.8 | 58.8 | 0.0% |
| GREENWOOD | 58.3 | 56.9 | -2.4% |
| HAMPTON | 62.6 | 64.5 | 3.0% |
| HORRY | 58.8 | 59.5 | 1.2% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|------------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| JASPER | 61.6 | 61.3 | -0.5% |
| KERSHAW | 59.4 | 60.2 | 1.3% |
| LANCASTER | 58.1 | 59.2 | 1.9% |
| LAURENS | 57.7 | 59.4 | 2.9% |
| LEE | 61.3 | 62.6 | 2.1% |
| LEXINGTON | 58.0 | 58.7 | 1.2% |
| MCCORMICK | 59.8 | 59.7 | -0.2% |
| MARION | 59.4 | 60.7 | 2.2% |
| MARLBORO | 58.9 | 63.8 | 8.3% |
| NEWBERRY | 57.7 | 58.2 | 0.9% |
| OCONEE | 56.1 | 59.3 | 5.7% |
| ORANGEBURG | 61.1 | 58.8 | -3.8% |
| PICKENS | 56.6 | 56.9 | 0.5% |
| RICHLAND | 58.6 | 58.5 | -0.2% |
| SALUDA | 56.4 | 57.7 | 2.3% |
| SPARTANBURG | 56.6 | 58.6 | 3.5% |
| SUMTER | 58.8 | 58.9 | 0.2% |
| UNION | 54.8 | 59.2 | 8.0% |
| WILLIAMSBURG | 59.3 | 60.5 | 2.0% |
| YORK | 59.1 | 60.1 | 1.7% |
| <u>Tennessee</u> | | | |
| ANDERSON | 56.0 | 58.9 | 5.2% |
| BEDFORD | 58.9 | 58.6 | -0.5% |
| BENTON | 57.4 | 58.7 | 2.3% |
| BLEDSOE | 56.8 | 60.2 | 6.0% |
| BLOUNT | 60.1 | 59.6 | -0.8% |
| BRADLEY | 59.9 | 60.5 | 1.0% |
| CAMPBELL | 60.6 | 62.3 | 2.8% |
| CANNON | 56.4 | 59.1 | 4.8% |
| CARROLL | 58.1 | 60.2 | 3.6% |
| CARTER | 57.7 | 60.3 | 4.5% |
| CHEATHAM | 57.5 | 60.5 | 5.2% |
| CHESTER | 58.0 | 59.5 | 2.6% |
| CLAIBORNE | 57.1 | 58.6 | 2.6% |
| CLAY | 57.9 | 59.4 | 2.6% |
| COCKE | 59.0 | 60.8 | 3.1% |
| COFFEE | 57.7 | 60.0 | 4.0% |
| CROCKETT | 58.5 | 60.0 | 2.6% |
| CUMBERLAND | 56.3 | 56.5 | 0.4% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | |
|--------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| DAVIDSON | 57.2 | 59.0 | 3.1% |
| DECATUR | 57.8 | 58.6 | 1.4% |
| DE KALB | 58.8 | 59.0 | 0.3% |
| DICKSON | 57.9 | 58.0 | 0.2% |
| DYER | 55.3 | 59.4 | 7.4% |
| FAYETTE | 61.3 | 60.9 | -0.7% |
| FENTRESS | 55.8 | 59.2 | 6.1% |
| FRANKLIN | 58.5 | 59.3 | 1.4% |
| GIBSON | 55.9 | 58.6 | 4.8% |
| GILES | 58.7 | 58.8 | 0.2% |
| GRAINGER | 57.4 | 58.9 | 2.6% |
| GREENE | 58.8 | 59.9 | 1.9% |
| GRUNDY | 56.7 | 55.4 | -2.3% |
| HAMBLEN | 58.5 | 59.6 | 1.9% |
| HAMILTON | 58.9 | 59.5 | 1.0% |
| HANCOCK | 57.6 | 57.2 | -0.7% |
| HARDEMAN | 59.8 | 60.3 | 0.8% |
| HARDIN | 57.2 | 60.2 | 5.2% |
| HAWKINS | 59.2 | 59.9 | 1.2% |
| HAYWOOD | 58.6 | 60.3 | 2.9% |
| HENDERSON | 57.4 | 58.3 | 1.6% |
| HENRY | 55.9 | 60.1 | 7.5% |
| HICKMAN | 58.2 | 58.3 | 0.2% |
| HOUSTON | 57.6 | 58.5 | 1.6% |
| HUMPHREYS | 56.9 | 59.5 | 4.6% |
| JACKSON | 58.3 | 60.8 | 4.3% |
| JEFFERSON | 60.6 | 61.6 | 1.7% |
| JOHNSON | 59.4 | 62.1 | 4.5% |
| KNOX | 58.7 | 59.4 | 1.2% |
| LAKE | 56.8 | 57.6 | 1.4% |
| LAUDERDALE | 59.7 | 58.6 | -1.8% |
| LAWRENCE | 58.3 | 58.1 | -0.3% |
| LEWIS | 58.5 | 57.9 | -1.0% |
| LINCOLN | 57.8 | 59.0 | 2.1% |
| LOUDON | 59.7 | 59.0 | -1.2% |
| MCMINN | 58.8 | 59.4 | 1.0% |
| MCNAIRY | 58.5 | 59.2 | 1.2% |
| MACON | 57.7 | 57.6 | -0.2% |
| MADISON | 58.7 | 59.7 | 1.7% |
| | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| 2022 Census | A | g <u>e</u> | |
|--------------|------|------------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| MARION | 58.2 | 58.2 | 0.0% |
| MARSHALL | 57.1 | 58.7 | 2.8% |
| MAURY | 58.8 | 58.6 | -0.3% |
| MEIGS | 59.6 | 58.4 | -2.0% |
| MONROE | 57.3 | 57.2 | -0.2% |
| MONTGOMERY | 58.5 | 59.8 | 2.2% |
| MOORE | 58.3 | 60.6 | 3.9% |
| MORGAN | 56.0 | 56.8 | 1.4% |
| OBION | 57.8 | 59.9 | 3.6% |
| OVERTON | 55.5 | 55.7 | 0.4% |
| PERRY | 55.7 | 57.7 | 3.6% |
| PICKETT | 55.7 | 58.4 | 4.8% |
| POLK | 55.2 | 60.9 | 10.3% |
| PUTNAM | 57.8 | 58.7 | 1.6% |
| RHEA | 58.4 | 57.7 | -1.2% |
| ROANE | 57.1 | 57.7 | 1.1% |
| ROBERTSON | 56.1 | 56.4 | 0.5% |
| RUTHERFORD | 57.5 | 58.3 | 1.4% |
| SCOTT | 59.4 | 59.0 | -0.7% |
| SEQUATCHIE | 60.0 | 59.6 | -0.7% |
| SEVIER | 61.2 | 61.3 | 0.2% |
| SHELBY | 59.3 | 60.6 | 2.2% |
| SMITH | 58.4 | 58.3 | -0.2% |
| STEWART | 57.5 | 58.6 | 1.9% |
| SULLIVAN | 59.4 | 59.5 | 0.2% |
| SUMNER | 58.4 | 58.6 | 0.3% |
| TIPTON | 57.0 | 57.9 | 1.6% |
| TROUSDALE | 57.5 | 56.8 | -1.2% |
| UNICOI | 60.2 | 58.8 | -2.3% |
| UNION | 57.0 | 59.5 | 4.4% |
| VAN BUREN | 56.6 | 54.8 | -3.2% |
| WARREN | 55.3 | 56.5 | 2.2% |
| WASHINGTON | 58.8 | 58.5 | -0.5% |
| WAYNE | 56.6 | 56.1 | -0.9% |
| WEAKLEY | 57.1 | 57.0 | -0.2% |
| WHITE | 56.5 | 56.5 | 0.0% |
| WILLIAMSON | 59.4 | 60.4 | 1.7% |
| WILSON | 57.7 | 59.5 | 3.1% |
| <u>Texas</u> | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | |
|-----------------|------------|--------|-------|
| State/County 20 | | 022 Cł | nange |
| ANDERSON 61 | 1 6 | 51.9 | 1.3% |
| ANDREWS 56 | 5.8 5 | 57.2 | 0.7% |
| ANGELINA 57 | .9 6 | 50.4 | 4.3% |
| ARANSAS 60 |).8 | 52.9 | 3.5% |
| ARCHER 58 | 3.9 5 | 57.4 - | 2.5% |
| ARMSTRONG 59 | .0 6 | 50.1 | 1.9% |
| ATASCOSA 58 | 3.5 | 50.6 | 3.6% |
| AUSTIN 60 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 0.5% |
| BAILEY 58 | 5.2 5 | 58.6 | 0.7% |
| BANDERA 63 | .6 | 53.9 | 0.5% |
| BASTROP 59 | .8 5 | 59.9 | 0.2% |
| BAYLOR 58 | 3.3 | 50.4 | 3.6% |
| BEE 61 | 4 6 | 51.6 | 0.3% |
| BELL 59 | .1 6 | 51.3 | 3.7% |
| BEXAR 59 | .6 5 | 59.9 | 0.5% |
| BLANCO 62 | 8 6 | 52.6 | 0.3% |
| BORDEN 58 | 3.5 | 54.6 1 | 0.4% |
| BOSQUE 60 |).5 | 52.2 | 2.8% |
| BOWIE 58 | 5.8 5 | 59.8 | 1.7% |
| BRAZORIA 56 | 5.6 5 | 58.1 | 2.7% |
| BRAZOS 59 | .9 6 | 50.7 | 1.3% |
| BREWSTER 60 |).2 6 | 60.7 | 0.8% |
| BRISCOE 64 | 4 6 | 54.0 | 0.6% |
| BROOKS 60 |).1 5 | 56.3 | 6.3% |
| BROWN 60 | 0.1 6 | 60.4 | 0.5% |
| BURLESON 59 | .6 6 | 51.1 | 2.5% |
| BURNET 61 | 1 6 | 50.9 | 0.3% |
| CALDWELL 60 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 0.8% |
| CALHOUN 59 | .8 6 | 50.3 | 0.8% |
| CALLAHAN 59 | .6 6 | 50.5 | 1.5% |
| CAMERON 56 | 5.9 5 | 57.6 | 1.2% |
| CAMP 57 | 7.5 5 | 58.8 | 2.3% |
| CARSON 58 | 3.4 6 | 50.0 | 2.7% |
| CASS 59 | .0 6 | 50.2 | 2.0% |
| CASTRO 57 | .0 5 | 55.9 - | 1.9% |
| CHAMBERS 56 | 5.6 5 | 56.1 - | 0.9% |
| CHEROKEE 59 | .6 6 | 50.0 | 0.7% |
| CHILDRESS 61 | 8 6 | 52.0 | 0.3% |
| CLAY 60 | .3 5 | 59.9 | 0.7% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| COCHRAN 59.3 61.8 4.2% | | <u>Age</u> | | |
|--|---------------|------------|------|--------|
| COKE 60.7 60.7 0.0% COLEMAN 62.3 62.6 0.5% COLLIN 57.9 57.5 -0.7% COLLINGSWORTH 61.8 60.0 -2.9% COLORADO 60.2 61.5 2.2% COMAL 60.3 60.3 0.0% COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 | State/County | | | Change |
| COLEMAN 62.3 62.6 0.5% COLLIN 57.9 57.5 -0.7% COLLINGSWORTH 61.8 60.0 -2.9% COLORADO 60.2 61.5 2.2% COMAL 60.3 60.3 0.0% COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 | COCHRAN | 59.3 | 61.8 | 4.2% |
| COLLIN 57.9 57.5 -0.7% COLINGSWORTH 61.8 60.0 -2.9% COLORADO 60.2 61.5 2.2% COMAL 60.3 60.3 0.0% COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DENTON 58.4 | COKE | 60.7 | 60.7 | 0.0% |
| COLLINGSWORTH 61.8 60.0 -2.9% COLORADO 60.2 61.5 2.2% COMAL 60.3 60.3 0.0% COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DEUTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 | COLEMAN | 62.3 | 62.6 | 0.5% |
| COLORADO 60.2 61.5 2.2% COMAL 60.3 60.3 0.0% COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DEUTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 < | COLLIN | 57.9 | 57.5 | -0.7% |
| COMAL 60.3 60.3 0.0% COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% COOYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DIKENS 61.4 61.4 60.9 DIWAL 62.0 6 | COLLINGSWORTH | 61.8 | 60.0 | -2.9% |
| COMANCHE 61.0 60.1 -1.5% CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 <t< td=""><td>COLORADO</td><td>60.2</td><td>61.5</td><td>2.2%</td></t<> | COLORADO | 60.2 | 61.5 | 2.2% |
| CONCHO 60.3 59.6 -1.2% COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DUVAL 60.5 59.3 -2.0% ECTOR 55.7 6 | COMAL | 60.3 | 60.3 | 0.0% |
| COOKE 59.0 58.8 -0.3% CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEATS SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0 DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% ECTOR 55.7 60. | COMANCHE | 61.0 | 60.1 | -1.5% |
| CORYELL 58.6 58.9 0.5% COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 6 | CONCHO | 60.3 | 59.6 | -1.2% |
| COTTLE 62.4 61.0 -2.2% CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 | COOKE | 59.0 | 58.8 | -0.3% |
| CRANE 59.6 62.2 4.4% CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% | CORYELL | 58.6 | 58.9 | 0.5% |
| CROCKETT 58.9 59.8 1.5% CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% | COTTLE | 62.4 | 61.0 | -2.2% |
| CROSBY 60.9 62.2 2.1% CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% | CRANE | 59.6 | 62.2 | 4.4% |
| CULBERSON 59.3 66.3 11.8% DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% | CROCKETT | 58.9 | 59.8 | 1.5% |
| DALLAM 58.9 56.1 -4.8% DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% ELLIS 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% < | CROSBY | 60.9 | 62.2 | 2.1% |
| DALLAS 57.6 58.4 1.4% DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 <td>CULBERSON</td> <td>59.3</td> <td>66.3</td> <td>11.8%</td> | CULBERSON | 59.3 | 66.3 | 11.8% |
| DAWSON 60.7 61.9 2.0% DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 <td>DALLAM</td> <td>58.9</td> <td>56.1</td> <td>-4.8%</td> | DALLAM | 58.9 | 56.1 | -4.8% |
| DEAF SMITH 58.5 59.3 1.4% DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 <td>DALLAS</td> <td>57.6</td> <td>58.4</td> <td>1.4%</td> | DALLAS | 57.6 | 58.4 | 1.4% |
| DELTA 56.4 58.5 3.7% DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DAWSON | 60.7 | 61.9 | 2.0% |
| DENTON 58.4 59.2 1.4% DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DEAF SMITH | 58.5 | 59.3 | 1.4% |
| DE WITT 60.9 62.7 3.0% DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DELTA | 56.4 | 58.5 | 3.7% |
| DICKENS 61.4 61.4 0.0% DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DENTON | 58.4 | 59.2 | 1.4% |
| DIMMIT 58.9 62.4 5.9% DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DE WITT | 60.9 | 62.7 | 3.0% |
| DONLEY 60.5 59.3 -2.0% DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DICKENS | 61.4 | 61.4 | 0.0% |
| DUVAL 62.0 62.6 1.0% EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DIMMIT | 58.9 | 62.4 | 5.9% |
| EASTLAND 60.9 59.6 -2.1% ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DONLEY | 60.5 | 59.3 | -2.0% |
| ECTOR 55.7 60.9 9.3% EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | DUVAL | 62.0 | 62.6 | 1.0% |
| EDWARDS 60.7 59.5 -2.0% ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | EASTLAND | 60.9 | 59.6 | -2.1% |
| ELLIS 57.8 58.4 1.0% EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | ECTOR | 55.7 | 60.9 | 9.3% |
| EL PASO 58.4 61.6 5.5% ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | EDWARDS | 60.7 | 59.5 | -2.0% |
| ERATH 59.3 59.5 0.3% FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | ELLIS | 57.8 | 58.4 | 1.0% |
| FALLS 58.2 59.8 2.7% FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | EL PASO | 58.4 | 61.6 | 5.5% |
| FANNIN 57.7 59.0 2.3% FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | ERATH | 59.3 | 59.5 | 0.3% |
| FAYETTE 61.8 62.5 1.1% FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | FALLS | 58.2 | 59.8 | 2.7% |
| FISHER 61.2 60.7 -0.8% FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | FANNIN | 57.7 | 59.0 | 2.3% |
| FLOYD 61.7 60.9 -1.3% | FAYETTE | 61.8 | 62.5 | 1.1% |
| | FISHER | 61.2 | 60.7 | -0.8% |
| FOARD 56.6 60.7 7.29/ | FLOYD | 61.7 | 60.9 | -1.3% |
| 10AND 50.0 00.7 7.2% | FOARD | 56.6 | 60.7 | 7.2% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | |
|--------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| FORT BEND | 58.7 | 58.4 | -0.5% |
| FRANKLIN | 58.5 | 59.3 | 1.4% |
| FREESTONE | 60.5 | 60.6 | 0.2% |
| FRIO | 59.9 | 61.8 | 3.2% |
| GAINES | 57.3 | 57.4 | 0.2% |
| GALVESTON | 56.1 | 58.4 | 4.1% |
| GARZA | 58.0 | 62.2 | 7.2% |
| GILLESPIE | 60.7 | 61.7 | 1.6% |
| GLASSCOCK | 53.5 | 57.6 | 7.7% |
| GOLIAD | 59.0 | 59.3 | 0.5% |
| GONZALES | 60.1 | 61.3 | 2.0% |
| GRAY | 57.5 | 60.2 | 4.7% |
| GRAYSON | 58.1 | 58.8 | 1.2% |
| GREGG | 58.9 | 59.2 | 0.5% |
| GRIMES | 60.0 | 60.0 | 0.0% |
| GUADALUPE | 59.9 | 60.2 | 0.5% |
| HALE | 58.9 | 59.9 | 1.7% |
| HALL | 60.7 | 59.9 | -1.3% |
| HAMILTON | 60.9 | 63.3 | 3.9% |
| HANSFORD | 58.6 | 61.0 | 4.1% |
| HARDEMAN | 59.2 | 58.9 | -0.5% |
| HARDIN | 57.4 | 57.3 | -0.2% |
| HARRIS | 57.8 | 58.6 | 1.4% |
| HARRISON | 59.1 | 60.3 | 2.0% |
| HARTLEY | 57.0 | 54.8 | -3.9% |
| HASKELL | 61.3 | 60.7 | -1.0% |
| HAYS | 60.1 | 61.3 | 2.0% |
| HEMPHILL | 59.8 | 64.5 | 7.9% |
| HENDERSON | 59.3 | 59.7 | 0.7% |
| HIDALGO | 55.7 | 56.0 | 0.5% |
| HILL | 59.1 | 59.5 | 0.7% |
| HOCKLEY | 60.1 | 60.4 | 0.5% |
| HOOD | 60.6 | 60.1 | -0.8% |
| HOPKINS | 57.8 | 58.8 | 1.7% |
| HOUSTON | 63.1 | 63.0 | -0.2% |
| HOWARD | 62.6 | 59.2 | -5.4% |
| HUDSPETH | 63.3 | 59.6 | -5.8% |
| HUNT | 58.2 | 59.2 | 1.7% |
| HUTCHINSON | 58.5 | 59.2 | 1.2% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | A | ge_ | |
|---------------|------|------|--------|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change |
| IRION | 62.9 | 58.2 | -7.5% |
| JACK | 60.1 | 61.2 | 1.8% |
| JACKSON | 57.3 | 58.4 | 1.9% |
| JASPER | 58.7 | 58.6 | -0.2% |
| JEFF DAVIS | 62.8 | 64.6 | 2.9% |
| JEFFERSON | 59.5 | 59.4 | -0.2% |
| JIM HOGG | 60.1 | 57.8 | -3.8% |
| JIM WELLS | 58.5 | 59.1 | 1.0% |
| JOHNSON | 58.0 | 58.4 | 0.7% |
| JONES | 60.5 | 59.7 | -1.3% |
| KARNES | 59.5 | 61.7 | 3.7% |
| KAUFMAN | 58.6 | 59.0 | 0.7% |
| KENDALL | 60.9 | 61.1 | 0.3% |
| KENEDY | 65.7 | 64.7 | -1.5% |
| KENT | 59.5 | 60.2 | 1.2% |
| KERR | 62.1 | 62.6 | 0.8% |
| KIMBLE | 63.6 | 62.6 | -1.6% |
| KING | 55.8 | 52.5 | -5.9% |
| KINNEY | 61.5 | 60.0 | -2.4% |
| KLEBERG | 56.9 | 60.5 | 6.3% |
| KNOX | 59.3 | 58.8 | -0.8% |
| LAMAR | 56.9 | 57.6 | 1.2% |
| LAMB | 59.8 | 61.7 | 3.2% |
| LAMPASAS | 60.4 | 61.3 | 1.5% |
| LA SALLE | 61.8 | 61.0 | -1.3% |
| LAVACA | 60.0 | 61.7 | 2.8% |
| LEE | 58.6 | 60.3 | 2.9% |
| LEON | 61.8 | 62.2 | 0.6% |
| LIBERTY | 57.8 | 58.5 | 1.2% |
| LIMESTONE | 59.3 | 61.9 | 4.4% |
| LIPSCOMB | 61.1 | 62.0 | 1.5% |
| LIVE OAK | 59.2 | 60.4 | 2.0% |
| LLANO | 61.5 | 62.1 | 1.0% |
| LOVING | 59.3 | 55.2 | -6.9% |
| LUBBOCK | 56.7 | 58.1 | 2.5% |
| LYNN | 56.3 | 59.0 | 4.8% |
| MCCULLOCH | 62.1 | 61.4 | -1.1% |
| MCLENNAN | 58.2 | 59.3 | 1.9% |
| MCMULLEN | 60.5 | 62.2 | 2.8% |
| IVICIVIULLEIN | 60.5 | 62.2 | 2.8% |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | | |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| MADISON | 61.4 | 60.5 | -1.5% | |
| MARION | 59.0 | 62.0 | 5.1% | |
| MARTIN | 60.5 | 60.5 | 0.0% | |
| MASON | 61.5 | 61.3 | -0.3% | |
| MATAGORDA | 57.9 | 59.4 | 2.6% | |
| MAVERICK | 57.3 | 55.5 | -3.1% | |
| MEDINA | 59.1 | 60.1 | 1.7% | |
| MENARD | 61.8 | 63.2 | 2.3% | |
| MIDLAND | 56.6 | 56.5 | -0.2% | |
| MILAM | 59.2 | 60.5 | 2.2% | |
| MILLS | 61.3 | 60.1 | -2.0% | |
| MITCHELL | 61.3 | 61.9 | 1.0% | |
| MONTAGUE | 59.2 | 60.2 | 1.7% | |
| MONTGOMERY | 57.6 | 59.4 | 3.1% | |
| MOORE | 57.2 | 56.2 | -1.7% | |
| MORRIS | 61.2 | 59.5 | -2.8% | |
| MOTLEY | 61.0 | 60.6 | -0.7% | |
| NACOGDOCHES | 59.2 | 59.0 | -0.3% | |
| NAVARRO | 59.0 | 60.0 | 1.7% | |
| NEWTON | 58.1 | 58.4 | 0.5% | |
| NOLAN | 62.1 | 62.9 | 1.3% | |
| NUECES | 58.0 | 60.6 | 4.5% | |
| OCHILTREE | 60.2 | 61.8 | 2.7% | |
| OLDHAM | 57.5 | 60.5 | 5.2% | |
| ORANGE | 55.5 | 58.4 | 5.2% | |
| PALO PINTO | 59.1 | 59.8 | 1.2% | |
| PANOLA | 58.7 | 60.7 | 3.4% | |
| PARKER | 57.8 | 58.6 | 1.4% | |
| PARMER | 59.1 | 57.6 | -2.5% | |
| PECOS | 59.9 | 59.4 | -0.8% | |
| POLK | 59.3 | 60.7 | 2.4% | |
| POTTER | 58.3 | 57.6 | -1.2% | |
| PRESIDIO | 54.9 | 59.3 | 8.0% | |
| RAINS | 58.3 | 58.9 | 1.0% | |
| RANDALL | 55.7 | 56.3 | 1.1% | |
| REAGAN | 59.9 | 60.5 | 1.0% | |
| REAL | 64.3 | 62.9 | -2.2% | |
| RED RIVER | 57.9 | 60.8 | 5.0% | |
| REEVES | 61.2 | 60.7 | -0.8% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age | | | |
|---------------|------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| REFUGIO | 56.8 | 59.1 | 4.0% | |
| ROBERTS | 64.7 | 64.6 | -0.2% | |
| ROBERTSON | 59.5 | 60.9 | 2.4% | |
| ROCKWALL | 58.3 | 55.7 | -4.5% | |
| RUNNELS | 60.0 | 58.5 | -2.5% | |
| RUSK | 58.7 | 59.5 | 1.4% | |
| SABINE | 55.5 | 56.2 | 1.3% | |
| SAN AUGUSTINE | 58.9 | 59.1 | 0.3% | |
| SAN JACINTO | 57.5 | 60.1 | 4.5% | |
| SAN PATRICIO | 57.8 | 58.8 | 1.7% | |
| SAN SABA | 61.7 | 62.6 | 1.5% | |
| SCHLEICHER | 60.2 | 59.3 | -1.5% | |
| SCURRY | 60.4 | 59.0 | -2.3% | |
| SHACKELFORD | 60.4 | 64.6 | 7.0% | |
| SHELBY | 59.1 | 59.5 | 0.7% | |
| SHERMAN | 58.8 | 57.7 | -1.9% | |
| SMITH | 59.6 | 59.6 | 0.0% | |
| SOMERVELL | 58.7 | 58.4 | -0.5% | |
| STARR | 55.9 | 56.5 | 1.1% | |
| STEPHENS | 60.6 | 61.6 | 1.7% | |
| STERLING | 62.4 | 54.6 | -12.5% | |
| STONEWALL | 62.6 | 60.4 | -3.5% | |
| SUTTON | 59.6 | 60.0 | 0.7% | |
| SWISHER | 60.1 | 62.7 | 4.3% | |
| TARRANT | 59.5 | 58.5 | -1.7% | |
| TAYLOR | 59.4 | 58.8 | -1.0% | |
| TERRELL | 61.0 | 58.2 | -4.6% | |
| TERRY | 60.4 | 58.5 | -3.1% | |
| THROCKMORTON | 61.9 | 60.5 | -2.3% | |
| TITUS | 58.5 | 60.2 | 2.9% | |
| TOM GREEN | 56.8 | 57.2 | 0.7% | |
| TRAVIS | 59.2 | 59.4 | 0.3% | |
| TRINITY | 59.4 | 61.5 | 3.5% | |
| TYLER | 57.7 | 59.1 | 2.4% | |
| UPSHUR | 58.1 | 57.9 | -0.3% | |
| UPTON | 55.6 | 57.1 | 2.7% | |
| UVALDE | 61.1 | 61.5 | 0.7% | |
| VAL VERDE | 58.4 | 60.6 | 3.8% | |
| VAN ZANDT | 59.3 | 60.5 | 2.0% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | | |
|-----------------|------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| VICTORIA | 58.2 | 59.6 | 2.4% | |
| WALKER | 58.7 | 60.8 | 3.6% | |
| WALLER | 59.3 | 60.6 | 2.2% | |
| WARD | 57.9 | 55.6 | -4.0% | |
| WASHINGTON | 61.4 | 62.5 | 1.8% | |
| WEBB | 56.9 | 59.6 | 4.7% | |
| WHARTON | 58.1 | 59.3 | 2.1% | |
| WHEELER | 61.0 | 62.1 | 1.8% | |
| WICHITA | 58.9 | 57.8 | -1.9% | |
| WILBARGER | 57.5 | 59.5 | 3.5% | |
| WILLACY | 56.5 | 57.9 | 2.5% | |
| WILLIAMSON | 58.6 | 59.8 | 2.0% | |
| WILSON | 59.3 | 59.2 | -0.2% | |
| WINKLER | 58.6 | 57.7 | -1.5% | |
| WISE | 57.3 | 58.2 | 1.6% | |
| WOOD | 59.3 | 59.8 | 0.8% | |
| YOAKUM | 57.5 | 60.2 | 4.7% | |
| YOUNG | 59.6 | 60.9 | 2.2% | |
| ZAPATA | 59.6 | 63.5 | 6.5% | |
| ZAVALA | 61.1 | 59.5 | -2.6% | |
| <u>Virginia</u> | | | | |
| ACCOMACK | 58.7 | 56.8 | -3.2% | |
| ALBEMARLE | 60.8 | 59.1 | -2.8% | |
| ALLEGHANY | 61.2 | 62.2 | 1.6% | |
| AMELIA | 57.8 | 59.1 | 2.2% | |
| AMHERST | 60.9 | 60.4 | -0.8% | |
| APPOMATTOX | 57.8 | 57.5 | -0.5% | |
| ARLINGTON | 50.6 | 49.5 | -2.2% | |
| AUGUSTA | 57.1 | 57.4 | 0.5% | |
| BATH | 64.7 | 63.9 | -1.2% | |
| BEDFORD | 58.6 | 60.6 | 3.4% | |
| BLAND | 60.5 | 60.6 | 0.2% | |
| BOTETOURT | 60.3 | 60.9 | 1.0% | |
| BRUNSWICK | 61.9 | 64.8 | 4.7% | |
| BUCHANAN | 58.4 | 59.8 | 2.4% | |
| BUCKINGHAM | 58.8 | 61.5 | 4.6% | |
| CAMPBELL | 59.2 | 59.8 | 1.0% | |
| CAROLINE | 58.3 | 57.6 | -1.2% | |
| CARROLL | 58.9 | 59.3 | 0.7% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | Age_ | | | |
|-----------------|------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| CHARLES CITY | 58.3 | 58.9 | 1.0% | |
| CHARLOTTE | 58.8 | 61.4 | 4.4% | |
| CHESAPEAKE CITY | 58.0 | 60.3 | 4.0% | |
| CHESTERFIELD | 55.2 | 60.1 | 8.9% | |
| CLARKE | 60.7 | 60.7 | 0.0% | |
| CRAIG | 61.7 | 62.9 | 1.9% | |
| CULPEPER | 57.9 | 59.2 | 2.2% | |
| CUMBERLAND | 58.2 | 58.5 | 0.5% | |
| DICKENSON | 57.5 | 56.0 | -2.6% | |
| DINWIDDIE | 59.0 | 59.0 | 0.0% | |
| ESSEX | 59.0 | 57.8 | -2.0% | |
| FAIRFAX | 57.5 | 56.1 | -2.4% | |
| FAUQUIER | 59.6 | 58.8 | -1.3% | |
| FLOYD | 57.4 | 58.7 | 2.3% | |
| FLUVANNA | 59.6 | 58.3 | -2.2% | |
| FRANKLIN | 55.6 | 57.6 | 3.6% | |
| FREDERICK | 58.5 | 59.5 | 1.7% | |
| GILES | 57.7 | 59.7 | 3.5% | |
| GLOUCESTER | 54.9 | 57.1 | 4.0% | |
| GOOCHLAND | 59.4 | 60.1 | 1.2% | |
| GRAYSON | 58.2 | 58.8 | 1.0% | |
| GREENE | 61.1 | 62.1 | 1.6% | |
| GREENSVILLE | 60.6 | 64.9 | 7.1% | |
| HALIFAX | 59.7 | 60.7 | 1.7% | |
| HANOVER | 57.3 | 59.6 | 4.0% | |
| HENRICO | 58.4 | 61.0 | 4.5% | |
| HENRY | 59.0 | 58.8 | -0.3% | |
| HIGHLAND | 63.5 | 59.1 | -6.9% | |
| ISLE OF WIGHT | 54.8 | 54.5 | -0.5% | |
| JAMES CITY | 58.9 | 58.3 | -1.0% | |
| KING AND QUEEN | 57.2 | 58.0 | 1.4% | |
| KING GEORGE | 61.8 | 57.8 | -6.5% | |
| KING WILLIAM | 56.0 | 55.2 | -1.4% | |
| LANCASTER | 56.2 | 61.4 | 9.3% | |
| LEE | 58.4 | 59.8 | 2.4% | |
| LOUDOUN | 59.3 | 58.7 | -1.0% | |
| LOUISA | 59.1 | 60.9 | 3.0% | |
| LUNENBURG | 61.6 | 61.6 | 0.0% | |
| MADISON | 60.9 | 59.4 | -2.5% | |
| | | | | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| State/County 2017 2022 Change MATHEWS 59.3 56.4 -4.9% MECKLENBURG 61.0 60.5 -0.8% MIDDLESEX 58.3 56.7 -2.7% MONTGOMERY 58.0 57.1 -1.6% NELSON 58.5 61.1 4.4% NEW KENT 55.2 61.6 11.6% NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHAMPTON 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% | | Age_ | | | |
|---|---------------------|------|------|--------|--|
| MECKLENBURG 61.0 60.5 -0.8% MIDDLESEX 58.3 56.7 -2.7% MONTGOMERY 58.0 57.1 -1.6% NELSON 58.5 61.1 4.4% NEW KENT 55.2 61.6 11.6% NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 3.4% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% | State/County | | | Change | |
| MIDDLESEX 58.3 56.7 -2.7% MONTGOMERY 58.0 57.1 -1.6% NELSON 58.5 61.1 4.4% NEW KENT 55.2 61.6 11.6% NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE GEOWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANDOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% <t< td=""><td>MATHEWS</td><td>59.3</td><td>56.4</td><td>-4.9%</td></t<> | MATHEWS | 59.3 | 56.4 | -4.9% | |
| MONTGOMERY 58.0 57.1 -1.6% NELSON 58.5 61.1 4.4% NEW KENT 55.2 61.6 11.6% NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% < | MECKLENBURG | 61.0 | 60.5 | -0.8% | |
| NELSON 58.5 61.1 4.4% NEW KENT 55.2 61.6 11.6% NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% | MIDDLESEX | 58.3 | 56.7 | -2.7% | |
| NEW KENT 55.2 61.6 11.6% NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% | MONTGOMERY | 58.0 | 57.1 | -1.6% | |
| NORTHAMPTON 55.6 56.2 1.1% NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0 ROCKBR | NELSON | 58.5 | 61.1 | 4.4% | |
| NORTHUMBERLAND 56.5 60.0 6.2% NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% | NEW KENT | 55.2 | 61.6 | 11.6% | |
| NOTTOWAY 60.1 61.2 1.8% ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.9 ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.9 ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% S | NORTHAMPTON | 55.6 | 56.2 | 1.1% | |
| ORANGE 59.6 59.4 -0.3% PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SP | NORTHUMBERLAND | 56.5 | 60.0 | 6.2% | |
| PAGE 59.5 60.0 0.8% PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% | NOTTOWAY | 60.1 | 61.2 | 1.8% | |
| PATRICK 60.2 59.8 -0.7% PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% | ORANGE | 59.6 | 59.4 | -0.3% | |
| PITTSYLVANIA 59.6 60.9 2.2% POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% | PAGE | 59.5 | 60.0 | 0.8% | |
| POWHATAN 58.2 57.7 -0.9% PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% <t< td=""><td>PATRICK</td><td>60.2</td><td>59.8</td><td>-0.7%</td></t<> | PATRICK | 60.2 | 59.8 | -0.7% | |
| PRINCE EDWARD 59.3 63.0 6.2% PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% | PITTSYLVANIA | 59.6 | 60.9 | 2.2% | |
| PRINCE GEORGE 59.2 57.2 -3.4% PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SURSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRG | POWHATAN | 58.2 | 57.7 | -0.9% | |
| PRINCE WILLIAM 57.2 58.1 1.6% PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGIN | PRINCE EDWARD | 59.3 | 63.0 | 6.2% | |
| PULASKI 57.4 56.4 -1.7% RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% W | PRINCE GEORGE | 59.2 | 57.2 | -3.4% | |
| RAPPAHANNOCK 60.5 61.1 1.0% RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5< | PRINCE WILLIAM | 57.2 | 58.1 | 1.6% | |
| RICHMOND 57.6 58.8 2.1% ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | PULASKI | 57.4 | 56.4 | -1.7% | |
| ROANOKE 63.6 60.8 -4.4% ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | RAPPAHANNOCK | 60.5 | 61.1 | 1.0% | |
| ROCKBRIDGE 60.9 60.9 0.0% ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | RICHMOND | 57.6 | 58.8 | 2.1% | |
| ROCKINGHAM 53.9 55.1 2.2% RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | ROANOKE | 63.6 | 60.8 | -4.4% | |
| RUSSELL 55.7 57.4 3.1% SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | ROCKBRIDGE | 60.9 | 60.9 | 0.0% | |
| SCOTT 58.3 60.7 4.1% SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | ROCKINGHAM | 53.9 | 55.1 | 2.2% | |
| SHENANDOAH 59.3 58.7 -1.0% SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | RUSSELL | 55.7 | 57.4 | 3.1% | |
| SMYTH 57.4 59.5 3.7% SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SCOTT | 58.3 | 60.7 | 4.1% | |
| SOUTHAMPTON 59.6 59.0 -1.0% SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SHENANDOAH | 59.3 | 58.7 | -1.0% | |
| SPOTSYLVANIA 60.0 62.0 3.3% STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SMYTH | 57.4 | 59.5 | 3.7% | |
| STAFFORD 59.1 59.9 1.4% SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SOUTHAMPTON | 59.6 | 59.0 | -1.0% | |
| SUFFOLK CITY 57.3 60.2 5.1% SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SPOTSYLVANIA | 60.0 | 62.0 | 3.3% | |
| SURRY 60.2 61.6 2.3% SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | STAFFORD | 59.1 | 59.9 | 1.4% | |
| SUSSEX 59.3 58.0 -2.2% TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SUFFOLK CITY | 57.3 | 60.2 | 5.1% | |
| TAZEWELL 57.3 56.0 -2.3% VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SURRY | 60.2 | 61.6 | 2.3% | |
| VIRGINIA BEACH CITY 55.3 56.4 2.0% WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | SUSSEX | 59.3 | 58.0 | -2.2% | |
| WARREN 58.7 58.6 -0.2% WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | TAZEWELL | 57.3 | 56.0 | -2.3% | |
| WASHINGTON 58.9 59.4 0.8% WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | VIRGINIA BEACH CITY | 55.3 | 56.4 | 2.0% | |
| WESTMORELAND 59.5 62.6 5.2% | WARREN | 58.7 | 58.6 | -0.2% | |
| | WASHINGTON | 58.9 | 59.4 | 0.8% | |
| WISE 57.9 58.5 1.0% | WESTMORELAND | 59.5 | 62.6 | 5.2% | |
| | WISE | 57.9 | 58.5 | 1.0% | |

Table A1. Southern States County-Level Percentage Change in Producers' Average Age from 2017 to 2022 Census

| | <u>Age</u> | | | |
|--------------|------------|------|--------|--|
| State/County | 2017 | 2022 | Change | |
| WYTHE | 58.6 | 59.3 | 1.2% | |
| YORK | 52.1 | 55.0 | 5.6% | |



UTIA.TENNESSEE.EDU

Real. Life. Solutions.™

RR 24-002 5/24 The University of Tennessee is an EEO/AA/Title VI/Title IX/Section 504/ADA/ADEA institution in the provision of its education and employment programs and services. All qualified applicants will receive equal consideration for employment and admission without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, sex, pregnancy, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, physical or mental disability, genetic information, veteran status, and parental status.